




Encounter Marine Park (Fleurieu Peninsula) - PART A

Zoning Advice from and following MPLAG Meeting 4 - 15/02/2011



Data Source Suggestions - MPLAG
Topographic data, Parks and Reserves - DENR
Benthic Habitat Mapping - CSIRO, SARDI-PIRSA & DENR
Harbours - DENR & Harbours and Navigation Act
Anchorage Locations - Flinders Ports
Underwater Cables - DTEI

Compiled Projection Datum 9 March 2011
Geographic
Geocentric Datum of Australia, 1994

Marine Park: Encounter Marine Park (MP15) – PART A**Date of meeting: 15/02/2011***Note: these comments should be read in conjunction with the feedback map.*

Zone	Comment number (or colour)	Comment
A	A1 (blue)	Some support for DENR preliminary sanctuary zone.
	A2 (yellow)	Northern edge of zone at Beach Rd, SE corner at Onkaparinga Head. Include all of the reef. HPZ inside the reef south of the jetty. Must provide for beach and jetty fishing.
	A3 (orange)	Use Noarlunga jetty as a landmark. Permit fishing north of the jetty except in Aquatic Reserve. SZ south of the jetty to Trig Point and out 7km seaward.
	A4 (pink)	Alternative suggestion, outside of the reef area.
	Gallery	What impact will the desalination plant have on the marine park?
	Gallery	There should be no change to the existing arrangement, with the aquatic reserve only.
	Gallery	Impacts on a large population.
	Member	Good that both Horseshoe and Noarlunga reefs are in the proposed sanctuary zone.
	Gallery	Forces boat fishers 5 to 6 km offshore, and will put increased fishing pressure on the non sanctuary zone areas.
B	B1 (yellow) - Member	Southern boundary to the old South Rd rail bridge.
	B2 (pink) - Gallery	Proposed an alternative zone on a tributary closer to the mouth in an area that can't be reached by the public and is a natural buffer zone.
	Gallery	Heavily fished at night time.

	Gallery	Questioned that there was very little fishing effort in this area of the Onkaparinga river
C	C1 (red) – Member	The existing aquatic reserve of 6.6 km ² is sufficient, and already conserves what is needed for this zone. Fisherman should not have to be crucified because of poor land management. Believes that smaller sanctuary zones work just as well as larger sanctuary zones. 48 km ² covers too much of the coastline, and that it would have a significant socio-economic impact on business, community and real-estate prices.
	C2 (yellow) - member	Alternative to C, excluding the area from Gull Rock to the corner of the existing Aquatic Reserve. Fishing to be permitted on Willunga beach and on the bay.
	C3 (green dash) - member	No SZ from the edge of the Aquatic Reserve heading south for approximately 2km, and no SZ from Sellicks boat ramp heading south.
	C4 (pink hatch)	Cut out sections of C to allow for rec fishing from tinnies out of Aldinga and Sellicks to continue safely. Similar to C5.
	C5 (orange hatch)	Extend SZ to shore at Silver Sands, on cliff/rock platform north to Snapper Point, on the coast south of Sellicks beach, allowing a boat fishing corridor out from Aldinga and Sellicks ramps. Similar to C4.
	Gallery	Boundaries must be practical from a management point, and must be easily identified by boat users without navigational aids. The safety of small boat users must be a consideration. Compliance is an issue, as the existing aquatic reserve is regularly “poached” at the moment.
	Gallery	That the original proposal actually covers 100% of the coastline available to local fishers and not 10%.
	Gallery	Need to understand what the threats are in this zone.
	Member	Would like to have connectivity from the land through to the marine environment which would cover the “wash pool”. Jet ski impact within sanctuary zones.