




Upper Spencer Gulf Marine Park - PART A

Zoning Advice from MPLAG Meeting 4 - 16/02/2011



- | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Seagrass (0 to -10m) | Saltmarsh | Coastline (median high water) |
| Seagrass (-10m to -30m) | Mangrove | Bathymetry |
| Reef (0 to -10m) | Parks and Reserves | MPLAG Suggestion |
| Macroalgae (0 to -10m) | Aquatic Reserves | MPLAG Suggestion |
| Macroalgae (-10 to -30m) | Marine Park | MPLAG Suggestion |
| Bare Sand (0 to -10m) | Preliminary Zoning Scenarios | |
| Bare Sand (-10m to -30m) | | |
| Cobble (0 to -10m) | | |
| Unmapped (0 to -10m) | | |
| Unmapped (-10m to -30m) | | |



Data Source Suggestions - MPLAG
Marine Parks, Parks and Reserves, Bathymetry,
Topographic Data, Saltmarsh and Mangrove Habitats - DENR
Benthic Habitat Mapping, CSIRO, SARDI-PIRSA & DENR
Aquatic Reserves - PIRSA
21 February 2011
Compiled
Projection Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum Geocentric Datum of Australia, 1994

Marine Park: Upper Spencer Gulf – PART A**Date of meeting: 16/02/11*****Note: these comments should be read in conjunction with the feedback map.***

Zone	Comment number	Comment
E	1	Majority support from the MPLAG membership (and gallery) was given to the Miranda community proposal, which specifies removing the Yatala Harbour Aquatic Reserve and replacing it with a sanctuary zone that is aligned to allow for beach fishing in front of the Miranda township, and extends the boundary of the existing aquatic reserve west as a compromise position.
E	2	An alternative zone boundary approximately 1 km east of the existing boundary that runs parallel to the Douglas Bank Aquatic Reserve was suggested as another option for including deepwater channel habitat.
E	3	An alternative zone boundary further west of the E1 proposal was suggested as another option, which would include a wider range of habitats, sand and seagrass at more depth.
E	4	Move boundary north to existing netting closure (blue).
F	1	Support the proposed zone if the eastern boundary is reduced west to the mangrove line and no restrictions are placed upon the deeper water that is used by small boats and is an area that kids use for fishing due to its protection.
G	1	Support the proposed zone if the southern boundary can be moved north and aligned with the Old Salt works fence line.
H	1	Support for extending the proposed zone to include more seagrass meadow communities and to make the boundaries align with easy landmarks. On the condition that this boundary will allow the mouth of Chinaman's Creek to stay open to fishing and that the preliminary zone E is reduced.