

Metro Coastline Sand Sampling

Department of Environment and Water

31 March 2022



Level 3/117 King William Street Adelaide 5000 environmentalprojects.com.au Phone +61 8 8470 9030



Document Control

File	21118.01 R01 031032022
Revision	1
Date issued	31 March 2022
Author(s)	Richard Lewis
Principal review	Joe Pedicini
Quality Check	Tracy Svingos

Document Distribution

Revision	Date Issued	Client	Other	Document ID
0	3 December 2021	DEW	-	EP-2021_298_F_0
1	31 March 2022	1 x PDF	-	EP-2022_373_F_1



Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	1
1.1	Objectives	3
2.	Methodology	4
2.1	Regulatory Guidance	4
2.2	Data Quality Objectives	4
2.3	Sampling Methodology	4
	2.3.1 Variations to the proposed methodology	5
	2.3.2 Sample location coordinates	6
3.	Results	11
3.1	The results of the sampling program are provided below.	11
3.2	Analytical Results	15
	3.2.1 Particle Size Distribution D50	20
4.	Quality Control	21
5.	Conclusions	23
6.	Limitations	24

List of Tables

Table 2-1: Soil Sampling Methodology	4
Table 2-2: New Sampling Location coordinates (MGA 2020)	
Table 3-1: Sample location by profile	16
Table 3-2: Profile by sample location	18
Table 3-3: PSD (d50) Summary results	20
Table 4-1: Duplicate RPD results	22

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Site location plan	2
Figure 2-1: Sample location plan 200001-200129	7
Figure 2-2: Sample location plan 200003 – 200006	8
Figure 2-3: Sample location plan 200007 – 200009	9
Figure 2-4: Sample location plan 200012 – 200013	10
Figure 3-1: Profile 200004 (Toe of dune, HWM, MSL, saturated zone)	12
Figure 3-2: Profile 200008 (Toe of dune, HWM, MSL, saturated zone)	13
Figure 3-3: Profile 200012 (Toe of dune, HWM, MSL, saturated zone)	14



List of Appendices

Appendix A

Soil Logs

Appendix B

Data Quality

Appendix C

Laboratory Certificates



1. INTRODUCTION

Environmental Projects were commissioned by the Department for Environment and Water (DEW) to undertake sand sampling along the Adelaide metropolitan coastline, at 27 locations between North Haven and Kingston Park. DEW require sand profile sampling along the entire Adelaide metro coastline from North Haven to Kingston Park to inform the design of the northern sand pumping pipeline, as well as the entire metro beach management project.

This report provides preliminary assessment of ten locations between North Haven and Grange (the Site). A site location plan is provided in Figure 1-1.






Figure 1-1: Site location plan



1.1 Objectives

The objectives of the assessment were to:

- determine the particle size distribution (PSD) across the profile of the beach at ten locations
- determine the calcium carbonate concentrations of sand samples collected along the beach profile
- collect additional sand samples at the high-water mark (HWM), for clay fraction analysis by DEW.



2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Regulatory Guidance

Intrusive assessment of shallow soils at the subject site was completed with reference to the guidance in the following publications:

- Australian Standard (AS) 4482.1-2005: Guide to the investigation and sampling of sites with potentially contaminated soil Part 1: Non-volatile and semi-volatile compounds
- National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended 2013 (ASC NEPM)
- SA EPA Guidelines for the assessment and remediation of site contamination, 2018.

2.2 Data Quality Objectives

The data quality objectives (DQO's), quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) requirements and QC acceptable limits are provided in **Appendix B**.

2.3 Sampling Methodology

Sand sampling was undertaken on five days over the period 4 August to 12 August, working around the low tide times, using the methodology summarised in Table 2-1. Three additional samples (to account for samples that were unable to be collected in the initial sampling event) were collected on 28 January 2022 to complete the data set.

Activity	Details
EHS Plan	Prior to fieldwork, Environmental Projects prepared a site-specific EHS plan to identify known hazards to the health and safety of project personnel and the environment, based on an understanding of the proposed work and Environmental Projects experience with similar projects. All Environmental Projects personnel and subcontractors onsite were required to understand and comply with all control measures.
Soil bore drilling and sampling	Sampling was undertaken on the 4 and 5 August, 10, 11 and 12 August 2021, and 28 January 2022, with a total of ten location profiles sampled and a total of 41 primary samples collected for analysis. The maximum sampled depth was 1.5 metres below ground level (m BGL). Sample locations were located across the beach face from the toe of the dune to the low water mark.
	Samples were collected into laboratory supplied sample bags from a composite created from the full length of the drill hole at each location.
	Duplicate samples were collected from an additional drill hole located in close proximity to the primary sample location (nominally within one metre).
	Additionally, a subsample of the primary sample at seven HWM locations was collected and provided directly to DEW for internal clay fraction analysis. These are identified on the soil logs in Appendix B .
Soil logging and photographs	Sand at each location were logged in general accordance with Standards Australia (1993) Geotechnical Site Investigations AS1726. Soil logs are provided in Appendix A . Photos were captured directly to the ARCGIS Collector Application used to identify sample locations.
	 Where applicable, log descriptions included information on: particle size

Table 2-1: Soil Sampling Methodology



Activity	Details
	 colour odour moisture content at time of logging shell content i.e. shell grit and whole shell inclusions vegetation inclusions.
Sample handling	Samples were handled exclusively by Environmental Projects personnel and stored in suitable plastic sample bags supplied by the primary contract laboratory.
Sample preservation and delivery	All samples were stored under ambient conditions in a secure storage container, immediately after sampling and during delivery to the NATA accredited primary laboratory.
Decontamination of sampling equipment	Drilling augers and rods and core trays were cleaned between sampling locations by scrubbing with a stiff bristle brush and rinsing with seawater.
Quality control duplicate sampling and testing	Eight blind coded duplicate samples were obtained to meet QA/QC requirements.
Laboratory analysis	 Envirolab was contracted as the primary laboratory for analysis of primary and intralaboratory duplicate samples. Selected samples were analysed for the following: PSD Wet Sieving in accordance with AS 1289.3.6.1 (sieve sample sizes- 9.5, 4.75, 2.36, 1.18, 0.600. 0.425, 0.300, 0.212, 0.150 and 0.075 mm) calcium carbonate content analysis using method M19A1 and the entire composite sample.

2.3.1 Variations to the proposed methodology

It was identified that the toe of the dune (top of the beach) was in some cases, significantly different from that which was mapped earlier in the year. At each sample location profile, a new sample location point was established with GPS coordinates recorded directly to the ARCGIS Collector application used to identify sample location points. These locations were then sampled as per the soil sampling methodology (see Table 2-1).

During sampling it was identified that the proposed low water mark (LWM) location would be completely inundated at all times, during the lowest point of the tidal range. Inundation levels were in excess of 0.5 m as measured during sampling at the saturated zone sample locations. This resulted in an inability to sample all but one of the LWM locations. In order to meet the objectives of the sand sampling program, an additional sample location (saturated zone) was logged along the profile at approximately the 0.5 m water mark, as measured by the sampler in the wave zone of each profile.

At each location two holes were drilled using the hand auger. The first was to 0.4 m BGL, which was the maximum depth to which the hand auger was able to collect a sediment sample in saturated conditions. The second hole was drilled adjacent the first (the original hole collapsed as soon as the hand auger was removed, making exact hole location identification impossible). The second hole was drilled to 0.2 m BGL and then placed alongside the original core in the core tray. The two cores were then subsequently logged and sampled as a single core and composite sample.



2.3.2 Sample location coordinates

The coordinates for the new dune toe and saturated zone sampling locations are provided in Table 2-2. Sampling locations are shown in Figure 2-1 to Figure 2-4.

Table 2-2: New Sampling Location coordinates (MGA 2020)

Location ID	Eastings	Northings
Dune toe/Top of beach locations		
SB01	270320.3446	6135724.953
SB03	270046.8885	6136772.281
SB04	269293.7595	6140014.7
SB06	269228.6139	6140669.296
SB07	269357.9736	6141418.978
SB09	269753.9436	6142813.702
SB11	269878.4894	6143216.457
SB12	270209.5523	6145779.052
SB14	270300.6959	6144876.718
SB17	270210.6743	6144143.916
Saturated Zone Locations		
SB25	269794.7016	6143248.583
SB26	270201.268	6144873.172
SB27	270106.4034	6145778.446
SB29	270099.3072	6144177.139
SB31	269654.033	6142846.119
SB32	269254.5125	6141435.414
SB34	270254.5648	6135707.852
SB36	269960.3487	6136740.193
SB40	269211.6982	6140002.751
SB99	269106.4655	6140679.391






Figure 2-1: Sample location plan 200001-200129





Figure 2-2: Sample location plan 200003 – 200006






Figure 2-3: Sample location plan 200007 – 200008






Figure 2-4: Sample location plan 200012 – 200013



3. **RESULTS**

3.1 The results of the sampling program are provided below.

Sampling locations in the dry zone of the beach profile (toe of the dune) primarily consisted of a fine to medium grained sand, of a cream or grey/cream colour, with some vegetation, shell grit and shells.

HWM locations were generally logged as fine to coarse grained sand, of a cream to grey colour with shell grit, and some small shells. Sample holes were drilled to between 0.6 m BGL and 1 m BGL, with the exception of SB38, which was drilled to 0.45 m BGL as it was located in a saturated water channel.

Mean sea level (MSL) locations were drilled to between 0.4 m BGL and 0.75 m BGL, at which point the hole would collapse due to water saturation. Sand was recorded as fine to coarse grained, cream to pale grey and grey, with coarse shell grit and some shells. Traces of black inclusion (consistent with vegetation) were noted at a number of locations.

Saturated zone samples were drilled to a maximum depth of 0.4 m BGL in nominally 0.5 m of water. Sand was generally recorded as fine to medium grained with some coarse grained, cream to pale grey and grey (sometimes mottled) in colour with shell grit, some whole shells and trace vegetation.

Photographs of the sand collected from each of the four sampling points along three coastline location profiles are provided:

- 200004: SB09, SB10, SB22 and SB31 (see Figure 3-1)
- 200008: SB04, SB05, SB39 and SB40 (see Figure 3-2)
- 200012: SB03, SB36, SB37 and SB38 (see Figure 3-3).

Soil logs are provided in Appendix A.





SB09



SB22

Figure 3-1: Profile 200004 (Toe of dune, HWM, MSL, saturated zone)



SB010



SB31





SB04



SB39

Figure 3-2: Profile 200008 (Toe of dune, HWM, MSL, saturated zone)



SB05



SB40





SB03



SB37

Figure 3-3: Profile 200012 (Toe of dune, HWM, MSL, saturated zone)



SB36



SB38



3.2 Analytical Results

Table 3-1 and Table 3-2 provide wet sieving results formatted by profile and sample location. The PSD results for the <75 mm and <37.5 mm fractions have been excluded as they both had a 100 per cent pass through rate, whilst the <19 mm had only one sample (SB25) return less than 100 per cent pass through, and <9.5 mm had only two samples (SB25 and SB38) return less than 100 per cent pass through.

The results also indicate that the southern locations consist of generally coarser sand, while the northern locations consist of finer sands, as shown by the pass-through rate of the <0.15 mm fraction.

Calcium carbonate content varied between 5.3 per cent (SB01) and 46 per cent (SB13), with higher levels of calcium noted in the northern locations and lower levels of calcium carbonate noted in the southern locations.

Laboratory certificates are provided in Appendix C.

Table 3-1: Sample location by profile

Profile Number	Sample	Carbonate Estimate* (%)	<19 mm (%)	<9.5 mm (%)	<4.75 mm (%)	<2.36 mm (%)	<1.18 mm (%)	<0.6 mm (%)	<0.425 mm (%)	<0.3 mm (%)	<0.212 mm (%)	<0.15 mm (%)	<0.075 mm (%)
200001	SB12	29	100	100	100	100	99	99	97	90	83	44	2
	SB13	46	100	100	99	97	95	91	81	68	56	36	3
	SB28	22	100	100	99	99	97	94	87	78	71	46	4
	SB27	20	100	100	100	99	99	98	95	89	82	55	2
200002	SB14	20	100	100	100	100	99	97	94	90	68	30	10
	SB15	11	100	100	100	99	98	97	95	83	28	2	1
	SB16	32	100	100	100	99	99	98	95	84	75	42	1
	SB26	23	100	100	100	99	98	97	94	84	76	50	3
200129	SB17	12	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	87	53	17	1
	SB18	23	100	100	100	99	99	98	94	77	55	23	3
	SB30	17	100	100	100	98	96	94	90	78	58	24	1
	SB29	15	100	100	100	99	98	97	93	83	69	37	1
200003	SB11A	15	100	100	100	100	99	99	99	91	60	20	3
	SB23	10	100	100	99	99	99	98	92	70	48	17	5
	SB24	12	100	100	99	98	97	96	92	78	56	25	4
	SB25	22	99	99	99	97	96	95	93	85	76	45	15
200004	SB09	15	100	100	100	100	100	99	98	86	57	19	8
	SB10	22	100	100	100	99	99	98	95	82	61	23	10
	SB22	19	100	100	99	97	94	90	83	71	59	34	5
	SB31	17	100	100	100	100	99	98	96	95	92	59	1
200006	SB07	23	100	100	99	99	99	98	96	82	53	22	2
	SB08	16	100	100	100	100	99	99	98	89	64	23	7
	SB21	12	100	100	100	99	99	97	92	73	49	22	3
	SB32	14	100	100	100	99	98	95	90	79	67	47	8
200007	SB06	8.5	100	100	100	100	100	99	96	71	25	5	1
	SB19	11	100	100	99	98	96	93	85	60	30	7	1
	SB20	12	100	100	100	99	98	95	92	81	60	16	1
	SB99	12	100	100	100	100	100	100	98	97	93	68	20
	SB33	15	100	100	100	100	99	98	97	95	86	35	<1
200008	SB04	8.1	100	100	100	100	100	100	98	83	29	5	1
	SB05	7.2	100	100	100	100	100	100	94	65	24	4	<1



Profile Number	Sample	Carbonate Estimate* (%)	<19 mm (%)	<9.5 mm (%)	<4.75 mm (%)	<2.36 mm (%)	<1.18 mm (%)	<0.6 mm (%)	<0.425 mm (%)	<0.3 mm (%)	<0.212 mm (%)	<0.15 mm (%)	<0.075 mm (%
	SB39	6.8	100	100	100	100	99	98	95	79	39	7	1
	SB40	10	100	100	100	100	99	98	93	81	52	16	<1
200012	SB03	6.6	100	100	100	100	100	99	98	75	19	2	<1
	SB38	17	100	99	96	90	84	74	64	42	15	1	<1
	SB37	11	100	100	99	98	96	91	81	51	18	2	<1
	SB36	11	100	100	100	100	99	98	96	89	66	15	<1
200013	SB01	5.3	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	89	35	7	1
	SB02	8.1	100	100	100	99	99	98	93	64	26	3	1
	SB35	9.9	100	100	99	97	94	88	78	49	17	1	<1
	SB34	22	100	100	99	98	96	92	88	78	51	11	1



	Table 3-2: Profile b	y sample location												
	Profile Number	Sample	Carbonate Estimate* (%)	<19 mm (%)	<9.5 mm (%)	<4.75 mm (%)	<2.36 mm (%)	<1.18 mm (%)	<0.6 mm (%)	<0.425 mm (%)	<0.3 mm (%)	<0.212 mm (%)(%)	<0.15 mm (%)	<0.075 mm (%)
	200001	SB12	29	100	100	100	100	99	99	97	90	83	44	2
	200002	SB14	20	100	100	100	100	99	97	94	90	68	30	10
	200129	SB17	12	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	87	53	17	1
a	200003	SB11A	15	100	100	100	100	99	99	99	91	60	20	3
of Dune	200004	SB09	15	100	100	100	100	100	99	98	86	57	19	8
Toe o	200006	SB07	23	100	100	99	99	99	98	96	82	53	22	2
•	200007	SB06	8.5	100	100	100	100	100	99	96	71	25	5	1
	200008	SB04	8.1	100	100	100	100	100	100	98	83	29	5	1
	200012	SB03	6.6	100	100	100	100	100	99	98	75	19	2	<1
	200013	SB01	5.3	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	89	35	7	1
	200001	SB13	46	100	100	99	97	95	91	81	68	56	36	3
	200002	SB15	11	100	100	100	99	98	97	95	83	28	2	1
	200129	SB18	23	100	100	100	99	99	98	94	77	55	23	3
	200003	SB23	10	100	100	99	99	99	98	92	70	48	17	5
MWH	200004	SB10	22	100	100	100	99	99	98	95	82	61	23	10
₽	200006	SB08	16	100	100	100	100	99	99	98	89	64	23	7
	200007	SB19	11	100	100	99	98	96	93	85	60	30	7	1
	200008	SB05	7.2	100	100	100	100	100	100	94	65	24	4	<1
	200012	SB38	17	100	99	96	90	84	74	64	42	15	1	<1
	200013	SB02	8.1	100	100	100	99	99	98	93	64	26	3	1
	200001	SB28	22	100	100	99	99	97	94	87	78	71	46	4
	200002	SB16	32	100	100	100	99	99	98	95	84	75	42	1
	200129	SB30	17	100	100	100	98	96	94	90	78	58	24	1
	200003	SB24	12	100	100	99	98	97	96	92	78	56	25	4
MSL	200004	SB22	19	100	100	99	97	94	90	83	71	59	34	5
Σ	200006	SB21	12	100	100	100	99	99	97	92	73	49	22	3
	200007	SB20	12	100	100	100	99	98	95	92	81	60	16	1
	200008	SB39	6.8	100	100	100	100	99	98	95	79	39	7	1
	200012	SB37	11	100	100	99	98	96	91	81	51	18	2	<1
	200013	SB35	9.9	100	100	99	97	94	88	78	49	17	1	<1



Profile Number	Sample	Carbonate Estimate* (%)	<19 mm (%)	<9.5 mm (%)	<4.75 mm (%)	<2.36 mm (%)	<1.18 mm (%)	<0.6 mm (%)	<0.425 mm (%)	<0.3 mm (%)	<0.212 mm (%)(%)	<0.15 mm (%)	<0.075 mm (%)
200001	SB27	20	100	100	100	99	99	98	95	89	82	55	2
200002	SB26	23	100	100	100	99	98	97	94	84	76	50	3
200129	SB29	15	100	100	100	99	98	97	93	83	69	37	1
200003	SB25	22	99	99	99	97	96	95	93	85	76	45	15
200004	SB31	17	100	100	100	100	99	98	96	95	92	59	1
200006	SB32	14	100	100	100	99	98	95	90	79	67	47	8
200007	SB99	12	100	100	100	100	100	100	98	97	93	68	20
200008	SB40	10	100	100	100	100	99	98	93	81	52	16	<1
200012	SB36	11	100	100	100	100	99	98	96	89	66	15	<1
200013	SB34	22	100	100	99	98	96	92	88	78	51	11	1





3.2.1 Particle Size Distribution D50

The median d50 results ranged between 122.11 μm (SB99) and 345.7 μm (SB38) and are presented in Table 3-3.

Sample	d50 value (µm)	Sample	d50 value (μm)
SB01	236.6	SB21	217.1
SB02	267.0	SB22	189.3
SB03	260.6	SB23	218.6
SB04	245.97	SB24	200.6
SB05	266.0	SB25	159.6
SB06	259.9	SB26	150.5
SB07	206.6	SB27	143.3
SB08	190.9	SB28	159.6
SB09	200.3	SB29	175.6
SB10	195.2	SB30	196.6
SB11	197.5	SB31	138.0
SB12	159.75	SB32	158.9
SB13	193.88	SB33	122.11
SB14	182.95	SB34	210
SB15	265.83	SB35	303.8
SB16	164.6	SB36	192.7
SB17	207.4	SB37	296.5
SB18	202.3	SB38	345.7
SB19	271.1	SB39	236.4
SB20	197.9	SB40	209.1

Table 3-3: PSD (d50) Summary results

Detailed PSD results are provided in Appendix C.



4. QUALITY CONTROL

Data validation results are provided in Appendix B.

The results of the QA/QC process and testing data (Table 5-1, **Appendix B**) provided appropriate confidence the data could be relied upon for the purposes of this assessment.

Duplicate RPD results are presented in Table 4-1.



Table 4-1: Duplicate RPD results

	Carbonate Estimate*	<75 mm	<37.5 mm	<19 mm	<9.5 mm	<4.75 mm	<2.36 mm	<1.18 mm	<0.6 mm	<0.425 mm	<0.3 mm	<0.212 mm	<0.15 mm	<0.075 mm
DUP2	16	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	98	86	60	22	10
SB08	16	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	99	98	89	64	23	7
RPD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	-3	-6	-4	35
DUP6	12	100	100	100	100	100	99	98	96	90	71	50	16	1
SB23	10	100	100	100	100	99	99	99	98	92	70	48	17	5
RPD	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	-2	-2	1	4	-6	-133
Dup7	22	100	100	100	100	99	97	96	92	87	74	42	10	1
SB30	17	100	100	100	100	100	98	96	94	90	78	58	24	1
RPD	26	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	-2	-3	-5	-32	-82	0



5. CONCLUSIONS

Ten locations along the Adelaide metropolitan coastline were sampled and analysed for PSD and calcium carbonate concentrations to inform the engineering design of the northern sand pumping pipeline.

41 primary composite samples were collected and analysed with PSD wet sieving conducted in accordance with AS 1289.3.6.1. Sieve sample sizes ranged from 9.5 mm to 0.075 mm, with results presented as per cent passed through. Calcium carbonate content analysis using method M19A1 was also undertaken. Calcium carbonate content ranged from 5.3 per cent at SB01 (Grange) to 46 per cent at SB13 (North Haven), and the median d50 result ranged between 122.11 μm (SB99) and 345.7 μm (SB38).

Sand was relatively uniform along the beach profiles, with a fine to medium grained, cream to pale grey/grey sand reported in all sampling points. Vegetation was prevalent in varying degrees across the beach profiles, however, was more dominant in samples collected from the northern end of the coastline.

PSD testing indicates that finer sands are generally located in the northern locations (towards North Haven) and coarser sands are located in the southern locations (Grange).

To meet the objectives of the sampling program a saturated zone sample was included in the sampling program, nominally from the wave break area at a water depth of 0.5 m and collected to a maximum depth of 0.4 m BGL.

This report must be read in conjunction with. the limitations described in Section 6.



6. LIMITATIONS

Scope of Services

This environmental site assessment report ("the report") has been prepared in accordance with the scope of services set out in the contract, or as otherwise agreed, between the client and Environmental Projects ("scope of services"). In some circumstances the scope of services may have been limited by a range of factors such as time, budget, access and/or site disturbance constraints

Reliance on Data

In preparing the report, Environmental Projects has relied upon data, surveys, analyses, designs and plans as well as any other information provided by the client and other individuals and organisations, most of which are referred to in the report ("the data"). Except as otherwise stated in the report, Environmental Projects has not verified the accuracy or completeness of the data. To the extent that the statements, opinions, facts, information, conclusions and/or recommendations in the report ("conclusions") are based in whole or part on the data, those conclusions are contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of the data. Environmental Projects will not be liable in relation to incorrect conclusions should any data, information or condition be incorrect or have been concealed, withheld, misrepresented or otherwise not fully disclosed to Environmental Projects.

Environmental Conclusions

In accordance with the scope of services, Environmental Projects has relied upon the data and conducted environmental field monitoring and/or testing in the preparation of the report. The nature and extent of monitoring and/or testing conducted is described in the report.

On all sites, varying degrees of non-uniformity of the vertical and horizontal soil or groundwater conditions are encountered. Hence no monitoring, common testing or sampling techniques can eliminate the possibility that monitoring or testing results/samples are not totally representative of soil and/or groundwater conditions encountered. The conclusions are based upon the data and the environmental field monitoring and/or testing and are therefore merely indicative of the environmental condition of the site at the time of preparing the report, including the presence or otherwise of contaminants or emissions.

Also, it should be recognised that site conditions, including the extent and concentration of contaminants, can change with time.

Within the limitations imposed by the scope of services, the monitoring testing, sampling and preparation of this report have been undertaken and performed in a professional manner, in accordance with generally accepted practices and using a degree of skill and care ordinarily exercised by reputable environmental consultants under similar circumstances. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

Report for Benefit of Client

The report has been prepared for the benefit of the client and no other party. Environmental Projects assumes no responsibility and will not be liable to any other person or organisation for or in relation to any matter dealt with or conclusions expressed in the report, or for any loss or damage suffered by any other person or organisation arising from matters dealt with or conclusions expressed in the report (including without limitations matters arising from any negligent act or omission of Environmental Projects or for any loss or damage suffered by any other party relying upon the matters dealt with or conclusions expressed in the report). Other parties should not rely upon the



report or the accuracy or completeness of any conclusion and should make their own enquiries and obtain independent advice in relation to such matters.

Other Limitations

Environmental Projects will not be liable to update or revise the report to take into account any events or emergent circumstances or facts occurring or becoming apparent after the date of the report.



Appendix A

Soil Logs



ENVIRONMENTAL SOIL BORE SB15 (LWM)

PROFILE NUMBER 200037 LOGGED BY N. A

PROJECT NUMBER 21118.02 PROJECT NAME Metro Coastline Sand Sampling DRILLING COMPANY N/A CLIENT Dept of Environment and Water ADDRESS 81-95 Waymouth St, Adelaide

DATE 31/01/2022 DRILLER N/A DRILLING METHOD Hand Auger TOTAL DEPTH 0.35 m BGL

сомм	IENTS	r	1				
Depth (m)	Samples	Duplicate	DIA	Graphic Log	Material Description	Moisture	Additional Observations
-	SB15)	SAND, fine to medium grained, dark beige, clay/sand present.	S	
- 0.2							
- 0.4					End of hole at 0.35 m NBGL, hole collapsed due to saturation.		
- 0.6							
- 0.8							
- 1							

Disclaimer This log is intended for environmental not geotechnical purposes. produced by ESlog.ESdat.net on 30 Mar 2022



ENVIRONMENTAL SOIL BORE SB32 (Redo)

PROFILE NUMBER 200006 LOGGED BY R. L

PROJECT NUMBER 21118.02 PROJECT NAME Metro Coastline Sand Sampling DRILLING COMPANY N/A CLIENT Dept of Environment and Water ADDRESS 81-95 Waymouth St, Adelaide

DATE 28/01/2022 DRILLER N/A DRILLING METHOD Hand Auger TOTAL DEPTH 0.4 m BGL

СОММ	IENTS						
Depth (m)	Samples	Duplicate	DIA	Graphic Log	Material Description	Moisture	Additional Observations
	SB32				SAND, fine to medium grained, grey.	W	
_							
- 0.2							
_							
-0.4					End of hole at 0.4 m BGL.		
_							
- 0.6							
_							
- 0.8							
- 1							
_							

Disclaimer This log is intended for environmental not geotechnical purposes.



ENVIRONMENTAL SOIL BORE SB99

PROFILE NUMBER 200007 LOGGED BY R. L

PROJECT NUMBER 21118.02 PROJECT NAME Metro Coastline Sand Sampling DRILLING COMPANY N/A CLIENT Dept of Environment and Water ADDRESS 81-95 Waymouth St, Adelaide

DATE 28/01/2022 DRILLER N/A DRILLING METHOD Hand Auger TOTAL DEPTH 0.45 m BGL

End End of hole at 0.45 m BGL End of hole at 0.45 m BGL Additional Obsection Material Description Materian Descrip							IENTS	соми
-0.2 -0.2 -0.4 -0.4 -0.4 -0.4 -0.4 -0.4 -0.6 -0.8 -0.8	Additional Observat	Moisture	Material Description	Graphic Log	DIA	Duplicate	Samples	Depth (m)
- 0.4			SAND, fine to coarse grained, grey, fine shell grit.				SB99	_
- 0.6 - 0.8	-	W	SAND, fine to coarse grained, dark grey, sulphur odour on extraction and logging.					- 0.2
			End of hole at 0.45 m BGL.					- 0.4
								- 0.6
								- 0.8
								- 1

Disclaimer This log is intended for environmental not geotechnical purposes. produced by ESlog.ESdat.net on 30 Mar 2022



Appendix B

Data Quality



Data Quality Objectives

The ASC NEPM recommends use of the data quality objective (DQO) process (described in detail in ASC NEPM Schedule B2, Appendix B) to assess the accuracy and reliability of a dataset to ensure any risk-based conclusions or recommendations that will rely on that dataset are not influenced by sampling or measurement error. The DQO process develops the decision performance criteria, which outline the acceptable limits of error that limit the potential for uncertainties in the data.

Data quality indicators (DQI's) (outlined in ASC NEPM Schedule B2, Appendix B) are a measurable way to assess the reliability of field procedures and analytical data that highlight any exceedances of the performance criteria. To ensure the DQI's are met, specific quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) procedures must be followed.

Table B-1 illustrates the QA/QC requirements relating to each DQI adopted during the assessment.

Assessment	Quality Assurance		Quality Control			
Component	QA Component	DQI Addressed	QC Component	DQI Addressed		
Soil bores Groundwater well	Suitably experienced operator	Representativeness	-	-		
drilling and installation Soil vapour sampling Test Pits	Bore/installation details recorded on log sheet	Representativeness and Completeness	-	-		
Test Pits	Standard operating procedure (SOP) followed	Comparability	-	-		
Soil lithology recording	Suitably experienced and trained field personnel	Accuracy, representativeness, comparability, and completeness	-	-		
	Lithology and observations recorded on soil log sheet	Representativeness and comparability	-	-		
	Photographs of test pits and site	Representativeness and comparability	-	-		
Sample collection	Standard operation procedures followed	Comparability	-	-		
	Suitably experienced and trained field personnel	Accuracy, representativeness, comparability, and completeness	-	-		
	All sampling equipment decontaminated prior to use (by field personnel and/or rental company if applicable) and between sample locations and consumables changed between locations (i.e. pump bladder)	Accuracy, representativeness, completeness	Equipment rinsate blank samples	Precision		

Table B-1: QA/QC Requirements



Assessment	Quality Assurance		Quality Control			
Component	QA Component	DQI Addressed	QC Component	DQI Addressed		
	Measurement equipment calibrated (i.e. PID, gas detection meter, water quality meter)	Accuracy, precision	Calibration records	Accuracy, precision		
Sample preservation	Laboratory supplied sample containers only, pre-dosed with preservative where required	Precision, comparability, completeness	Recommended sample holding times met	Completeness		
	Standard operating procedures followed	Comparability	-	-		
Sample handling and transportation	Chain of custody documentation used	Accuracy	Trip blank samples	Accuracy, precision		
Laboratory analysis	NATA accredited laboratories used	Precision, accuracy, comparability, completeness	Intra-laboratory and inter- laboratory field duplicate relative percentage differences (RPDs)	Precision and accuracy		
			Internal laboratory duplicates, matrix spikes and surrogate spikes	Precision, completeness		

Table B-2 outlines the acceptable limits for the QC samples described in Table B-1.

The RPD% for a pair of duplicate concentrations is calculated using the formula:

RPD (%) = 100(x1 - x2) / x

where x1, x2 = duplicate results and x = mean of duplicate results.

According to the ASC NEPM:

- typical RPD values for soils are in the range of ±30%
- typical RPD values for groundwater are in the range of ±20%.



QC Sample	Minimum Frequency	Formula	Acceptable Limit
Blind-coded intra- laboratory field duplicates	1 in 20 (soil and soil vapour) 1 in 10 (groundwater)	Relative percent difference	-30% to +30% (soil and soil vapour) -20% to +20% (groundwater)
Laboratory Control Sample	1 in 20	Standard Recovery	70% to 130%
Matrix Spikes	1 in 10	Standard Recovery	70% to 130%
Surrogate Spikes	1 in 10	Standard Recovery	70% to 130%
Inter-laboratory duplicates	1 in 20 (soil and soil vapour) 1 in 10 (groundwater)	Relative percent difference	30% to +30% (soil and soil vapour) -20% to +20% (groundwater)
Field Split Sample	1 in 20	Relative percent difference	-50% to +50%
Laboratory Duplicate	1 in 20	Relative percent difference	<30%
Field Trip Blank	One per batch of samples	Laboratory PQL	<pql< td=""></pql<>
Field Equipment Rinse	One per day of sampling	Laboratory PQL	<pql< td=""></pql<>
Laboratory Method Blank	1 in 20	Laboratory PQL	<pql< td=""></pql<>
Holding Times	Every sample	-	All samples should be extracted and analysed within the hold times for the requested analytes
Completion (%)	-	Relative Completion	>90%

Table B-2: QC Acceptable Limits

Data Validation

QA/QC requirements for this assessment are outlined in Table B-1. The acceptance criteria for laboratory replicates and recoveries are detailed in RPDs within the ranges described in Table B-3 were considered to show acceptable agreement with the dataset and conversely, relatively poor agreement where a RPD was outside this rang.

Generally, higher RPD values occur for organic compounds, and where low concentrations of an analyte are recorded.



Table B-3: Data Validation

QA/QC Requirement	Outcome	Comment
Chain of custody documentation completed	Yes	All samples were transported under Environmental Projects COC procedures. A copy of the COC is provided in Appendix C .
Samples delivered to laboratory within sample holding times and with correct preservative	Yes	All samples were delivered to the laboratories within the sample holding times and in the appropriate laboratory-supplied bags.
Limits of reporting less than screening criteria	Yes	Laboratory practical quantitation limit (PQLs) were below the screening criterion.
All analyses NATA accredited	Yes	Envirolab are NATA accredited for the analyses performed.
Equipment calibrations	N/A	No field screening equipment was used as part of the assessment.
Intra-laboratory and inter- laboratory field duplicate testing frequency of at least 5% (1 in 20)	Yes	In accordance with ASC NEPM, duplicate testing frequency for key analytes complied with the required 5% of primary samples.
A majority of intra- laboratory field duplicate samples reported RPDs within +/-30% recommended by ASC NEPM	Yes	 All duplicates were within acceptable ranges except for: SB08/DUP02: <0.075 mm (35%) SB23/DUP06: <0.075 mm SB30/DUP07: <0.212 mm (32%) and <0.15 mm (82%). RPD exceedances are attributed to the duplicate collection process which required the duplicate sample to be collected from a separate hole, located in close proximity to the primary sampling location.
Field trip blanks frequency of at least one per batch	Yes	No trip blank was required due to the nature of the testing, which is limited to PSD and calcium carbonate content.
Equipment Rinsate Blank frequency of at least one per batch	N/A	No equipment rinsate was collected during the sampling program due to the nature of testing, which is limited to PSD and calcium carbonate content.
Acceptable laboratory QC results	Yes	Laboratory certificates are provided in Appendix C .



Appendix C

Laboratory Certificates



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd ABN 37 112 535 645 12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067 ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201 customerservice@envirolab.com.au www.envirolab.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 287867

Client Details	
Client	Environmental Projects
Attention	Lisa Bailie
Address	Suite 3/117 King William St, ADELAIDE, SA, 5000

Sample Details	
Your Reference	21118.02, Additional Metro Coastline Sand Sampling
Number of Samples	83 Soil
Date samples received	02/02/2022
Date completed instructions received	02/02/2022

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.

Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.

Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

Report Details		
Date results requested by	18/02/2022	
Date of Issue	18/02/2022	
NATA Accreditation Number 29	01. This document shall not be reproduced except in ful	И.
Accredited for compliance with	ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. Tests not covered by NATA	are denoted with *

<u>Results Approved By</u> Diego Bigolin, Inorganics Supervisor Priya Samarawickrama, Senior Chemist Authorised By

Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager

Envirolab Reference: 287867 Revision No: R00



Page | 1 of 25

Client Reference: 21118.02, Additional Metro Coastline Sand Sampling

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		287867-1	287867-2	287867-3	287867-4	287867-5
Your Reference	UNITS	SB99	SB32	SB15	SB01	SB02
Date Sampled		28/01/2022	28/01/2022	28/01/2022	28/01/2022	28/01/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022
Date analysed	-	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022
Carbonate Estimate*	%	12	14	11	11	12

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		287867-6	287867-7	287867-8	287867-9	287867-10
Your Reference	UNITS	SB03	SB04	DUP1	SB05	SB06
Date Sampled		28/01/2022	28/01/2022	28/01/2022	31/01/2022	31/01/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022
Date analysed	-	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022
Carbonate Estimate*	%	11	3.3	3.6	6.1	9.8


Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		287867-11	287867-12	287867-13	287867-14	287867-15
Your Reference	UNITS	SB07	SB08	SB10	SB12	SB14
Date Sampled		31/01/2022	31/01/2022	31/01/2022	31/01/2022	31/01/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022
Date analysed	-	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022
Carbonate Estimate*	%	21	14	9.9	5.3	6.5


Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		287867-16	287867-17	287867-18	287867-19	287867-20
Your Reference	UNITS	SB16	SB18	SB20	SB22	SB24
Date Sampled		31/01/2022	31/01/2022	31/01/2022	31/01/2022	31/01/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022
Date analysed	-	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022
Carbonate Estimate*	%	7.9	8.3	7.1	5.6	3.6

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		287867-23	287867-24	287867-25	287867-26	287867-27
Your Reference	UNITS	DUP5	SB09	SB17	SB19	SB15
Date Sampled		31/01/2022	31/01/2022	31/01/2022	31/01/2022	31/01/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022
Date analysed	-	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022	11/02/2022
Carbonate Estimate*	%	3.8	3.3	5.3	6.6	11

Client Reference: 21118.02, Additional Metro Coastline Sand Sampling

Particle Size Distribution in Soils						
Our Reference		287867-1	287867-2	287867-3	287867-4	287867-5
Your Reference	UNITS	SB99	SB32	SB15	SB01	SB02
Date Sampled		28/01/2022	28/01/2022	28/01/2022	28/01/2022	28/01/2022
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	07/02/2022	07/02/2022	07/02/2022	07/02/2022	07/02/2022
Date analysed	-	08/02/2022	08/02/2022	08/02/2022	08/02/2022	08/02/2022
<75mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<37.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<19mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<9.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<4.75mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<2.36mm	%	100	99	99	100	100
<1.18mm	%	100	98	98	100	99
<0.6mm	%	100	95	97	99	96
<0.425mm	%	98	90	95	94	93
<0.3mm	%	97	79	83	78	80
<0.250mm	%	96	73	35	64	70
<0.212mm	%	93	67	28	51	59
<0.15mm	%	68	47	2	18	28
<0.075mm	%	20	8	1	4	4
<0.020mm	%	3	<1	<1	<1	<1
Clay <0.002mm	%	4	1	<1	<1	<1






Sieve A	Analysis	Hydromete	r Analysis	
Sieve Size	% Passing	Particle Size	% Passing	
mm		μm		
100	100.0	55.5	0.6	
100	100.0	39.2	0.6	
75	100.0	27.7	0.6	
37.5	100.0	19.0	0.6	
19	100.0	13.9	0.6	
9.5	100.0	5.0	0.6	
4.75	99.6	2.9	0.6	
2.36	99.0	2.0	0.6	
1.18	98.2	1.5	0.6	
600 µm	95.4			
425 µm	89.7			
300 µm	79.2			
250 µm	72.9			
212 µm	66.7			
150 µm	47.2			
75 µm	8.4			

NOTES:

d50 158.9 Page 1 of 1 PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



287867-3



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd ABN 37 112 535 645 12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067 ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201 customerservice@envirolab.com.au www.envirolab.com.au

SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

Client Details	
Client	Environmental Projects
Attention	Richard Lewis

Sample Login Details	
Your reference	21118.01, Metro Beaches Sand Sampling
Envirolab Reference	275376
Date Sample Received	06/08/2021
Date Instructions Received	06/08/2021
Date Results Expected to be Reported	20/08/2021

Sample Condition	
Samples received in appropriate condition for analysis	Yes
No. of Samples Provided	Sand
Turnaround Time Requested	Standard
Temperature on Receipt (°C)	Cool
Cooling Method	Ice Pack
Sampling Date Provided	YES

Comments Nil

Please direct any queries to:

Aileen Hie	Jacinta Hurst							
Phone: 02 9910 6200	Phone: 02 9910 6200							
Fax: 02 9910 6201	Fax: 02 9910 6201							
Email: ahie@envirolab.com.au	Email: jhurst@envirolab.com.au							

Analysis Underway, details on the following page:



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd ABN 37 112 535 645 12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067 ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201 customerservice@envirolab.com.au www.envirolab.com.au

SB11A ✓ ✓ SB11B ✓ ✓ SB10 ✓ ✓ SB09 ✓ ✓ SB09 ✓ ✓ SB09 ✓ ✓ SB08 ✓ ✓ SB08 ✓ ✓ SB07 ✓ ✓ SB06 ✓ ✓ SB06 ✓ ✓ SB06 ✓ ✓ SB05 ✓ ✓ SB05 ✓ ✓ SB01 ✓ ✓ SB01 ✓ ✓ SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓ SB14 ✓ ✓ SB12 ✓ ✓
SB10 ✓ ✓ SB09 ✓ ✓ SB08 ✓ ✓ SB07 ✓ ✓ SB07 ✓ ✓ SB06 ✓ ✓ SB06 ✓ ✓ SB06 ✓ ✓ SB04 ✓ ✓ SB05 ✓ ✓ SB03 ✓ ✓ SB01 ✓ ✓ SB02 ✓ ✓ SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓
SB09 ✓ ✓ SB08 ✓ ✓ SB07 ✓ ✓ SB06 ✓ ✓ SB05 ✓ ✓ SB03 ✓ ✓ SB01 ✓ ✓ SB02 ✓ ✓ SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓
SB08 ✓ ✓ SB07 ✓ ✓ SB06 ✓ ✓ SB06 ✓ ✓ SB05 ✓ ✓ SB03 ✓ ✓ SB01 ✓ ✓ SB02 ✓ ✓ SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓
SB01 ✓ ✓ SB02 ✓ ✓ SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓
SB01 ✓ ✓ SB02 ✓ ✓ SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓
SB01 ✓ ✓ SB02 ✓ ✓ SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓
SB01 ✓ ✓ SB02 ✓ ✓ SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓
SB01 ✓ ✓ SB02 ✓ ✓ SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓
SB01 ✓ ✓ SB02 ✓ ✓ SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓
SB01 ✓ ✓ SB02 ✓ ✓ SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓ SB14 ✓ ✓
SB02 ✓ ✓ SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓ SB14 ✓ ✓
SB17 ✓ ✓ SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓
SB18 ✓ ✓ SB16 ✓ ✓ SB14 ✓ ✓
SB16 ✓ ✓ SB14 ✓ ✓
SB14 ✓ ✓
SB14 ✓ ✓ SB12 ✓ ✓
SB13 🗸 🖌
DUP1 🗸
DUP2
DUP3 🗸 🗸
DUP4 🗸 🗸

The ' \checkmark ' indicates the testing you have requested. THIS IS NOT A REPORT OF THE RESULTS.

Additional Info

Sample storage - Waters are routinely disposed of approximately 1 month and soils approximately 2 months from receipt.

Requests for longer term sample storage must be received in writing.

Please contact the laboratory immediately if observed settled sediment present in water samples is to be included in the extraction and/or analysis (exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, Total Recoverable metals and PFAS analysis where solids are included by default.

TAT for Micro is dependent on incubation. This varies from 3 to 6 days.

P	roject Title :	Metro Beache	es Sand Sam	pling								·					P	$\mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{i}}$
	ob Number :	21118.01														0		
Proje	ct Manager: Phone:	Lisa Bailie 0407 713 536					D	riman	Labo	atons	Envir	ol ah						
	Email:	lisa.bailie@env		ects.cor	n.au							-	A o	-	7			
		richard lewis@			-								,		•			
	Results to: <u>Lab</u>	Results@enviror	mentalprojects	s.com.ai	1													
	1	richard.lewis@			<u>com.au</u>			-	Labo	-								
	Invoice to: ac	counts(a)environr	nentalprojects.	com.au			Lab	orator	y Quo	te Ket								
		COC REFEREN	ICE		1					19				Turna	around	Required		
	-	coc-			1						_				Stand	ard		
· .										<u> </u>								
	SAMPLE I	DETAILS		\vdash					T	с С	неміс	CAL TE	STING	3 REQ	UIRED			
					4						Æ		00.5	eru,				
1				2	13			ENV	ROL	3	hate	woo	d NS	wa Wa	el 137			
				eiving	Û			Joł	No		р Бе	<i>ከ: (</i> 0	2) 99 5 5	10 6	<i>99</i>	6_		
Contract Laboratory	Sample ID	Date	Sample Matrix /	S e	-43			Dat	Re				\mathcal{V}	20	PI	Additional Co	nments / Note	s
Sample ID	-	Sampled	Туре		-3			N.A.	e Re	Deive Deive	U: U:		(4	2-1	521			-
				3	5			537	NO.	£y	10	þœ	þ,					
				<u>د</u>	i k			200	2 Contraction	ice/n	1108 1608	9711 1985						
· ·				PS J	3			660	s≼ïy:	a an	1000	ken	Ð	ŀ				
1	SBILA	4 18/21		Ť	ī		· ·		-									
2	SBILB																•	
3	5610				1		<u> </u>			-	-							
- Y	5809 5808				<u>}</u>				-	-	-							
ر م	5807				1													
Y	SPOD			V	Ŋ				<u> </u>									
א פ	5004			٩.	1													
10	<u>5805</u> 5803				1	-			-					-				
<u>v</u>	5 601			1	i	<u> </u>			+ -									
12	5:002	-		١	1	_												
13	5017	5/9/21		1	1					-		<u> </u>			H			
	5018 5016	217111			1		-			-								
16		5/8/21		$\frac{1}{1}$	li					\vdash	†	<u> </u>			[]		· .	
5	51312			i	١								<u> </u>					
				1	1			<u> </u>										
30	Dupi	4 /8/21	٠	4				-	-		-				\vdash		<u> </u>	
23	Dupz Dupz	5/8/21		1	•			+						\vdash				
2	Onea	5/8/2																
				<u> </u>			\vdash		\downarrow				ļ	<u> </u>				
EP AUTHORI	SATION	LABORATO	RY RECEIPT Received by:			L	l		1	1	 Ac	 Idition	al Con	nment	s	,		
2. few r	s to	es																
	±	Date\	lime received:	PSD V	Vet seivi	ng in ac	corda	nce wit	h AS 12	289.3.6	1 (incl	uding si	ieve siz	es 9.5,	4.75, 2	2.36, 1.18, 0.600, 0.425 hod 19A1, using the end	, 0.300, 0.212, 0	0.150 Just

.

- **4**

.

.

39

-



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd ABN 37 112 535 645 12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067 ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201 customerservice@envirolab.com.au www.envirolab.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 275376

Client Details	
Client	Environmental Projects
Attention	Richard Lewis
Address	Suite 3/117 King William St, ADELAIDE, SA, 5000

Sample Details	
Your Reference	21118.01, Metro Beaches Sand Sampling
Number of Samples	Sand
Date samples received	06/08/2021
Date completed instructions received	06/08/2021

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.

Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.

Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

Report Details		
Date results requested by	18/08/2021	
Date of Issue	18/08/2021	
NATA Accreditation Number 29	01. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.	
Accredited for compliance with	SO/IEC 17025 - Testing. Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with *	

Results Approved By Diego Bigolin, Inorganics Supervisor Authorised By

Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager



Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		275376-1	275376-3	275376-4	275376-5	275376-6
Your Reference	UNITS	SB11A	SB10	SB09	SB08	SB07
Date Sampled		04/08/2021	04/08/2021	04/08/2021	04/08/2021	04/08/2021
Type of sample		Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand
Date prepared	-	16/08/2021	16/08/2021	16/08/2021	16/08/2021	16/08/2021
Date analysed	-	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021
Carbonate Estimate*	%	15	22	15	16	23

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		275376-7	275376-8	275376-9	275376-10	275376-11
Your Reference	UNITS	SB06	SB04	SB05	SB03	SB01
Date Sampled		04/08/2021	04/08/2021	04/08/2021	04/08/2021	04/08/2021
Type of sample		Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand
Date prepared	-	16/08/2021	16/08/2021	16/08/2021	16/08/2021	16/08/2021
Date analysed	-	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021
Carbonate Estimate*	%	8.5	8.1	7.2	6.6	5.3

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		275376-12	275376-13	275376-14	275376-15	275376-16
Your Reference	UNITS	SB02	SB17	SB18	SB16	SB14
Date Sampled		04/08/2021	05/08/2021	05/08/2021	05/08/2021	05/08/2021
Type of sample		Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand
Date prepared	-	16/08/2021	16/08/2021	16/08/2021	16/08/2021	16/08/2021
Date analysed	-	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021
Carbonate Estimate*	%	8.1	12	23	32	20

Misc Inorg - Soil				
Our Reference		275376-17	275376-18	275376-20
Your Reference	UNITS	SB12	SB13	DUP2
Date Sampled		05/08/2021	05/08/2021	04/08/2021
Type of sample		Sand	Sand	Sand
Date prepared	-	16/08/2021	16/08/2021	16/08/2021
Date analysed	-	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021
Carbonate Estimate*	%	29	46	16

Particle Size Distribution in Soils						
Our Reference		275376-1	275376-3	275376-4	275376-5	275376-6
Your Reference	UNITS	SB11A	SB10	SB09	SB08	SB07
Date Sampled		04/08/2021	04/08/2021	04/08/2021	04/08/2021	04/08/2021
Type of sample		Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand
Date prepared	-	09/08/2021	09/08/2021	09/08/2021	09/08/2021	09/08/2021
Date analysed	-	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021
<75mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<37.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<19mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<9.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<4.75mm	%	100	100	100	100	99
<2.36mm	%	100	99	100	100	99
<1.18mm	%	99	99	100	99	99
<0.6mm	%	99	98	99	99	98
<0.425mm	%	99	95	98	98	96
<0.3mm	%	91	82	86	89	82
<0.212mm	%	60	61	57	64	53
<0.15mm	%	20	23	19	23	22
<0.075mm	%	3	10	8	7	2

Particle Size Distribution in Soils						
Our Reference		275376-7	275376-8	275376-9	275376-10	275376-11
Your Reference	UNITS	SB06	SB04	SB05	SB03	SB01
Date Sampled		04/08/2021	04/08/2021	04/08/2021	04/08/2021	04/08/2021
Type of sample		Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand
Date prepared	-	09/08/2021	09/08/2021	09/08/2021	09/08/2021	09/08/2021
Date analysed	-	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021
<75mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<37.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<19mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<9.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<4.75mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<2.36mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<1.18mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<0.6mm	%	99	100	100	99	100
<0.425mm	%	96	98	94	98	99
<0.3mm	%	71	83	65	75	89
<0.212mm	%	25	29	24	19	35
<0.15mm	%	5	5	4	2	7
<0.075mm	%	1	1	<1	<1	1

Particle Size Distribution in Soils						
Our Reference		275376-12	275376-13	275376-14	275376-15	275376-16
Your Reference	UNITS	SB02	SB17	SB18	SB16	SB14
Date Sampled		04/08/2021	05/08/2021	05/08/2021	05/08/2021	05/08/2021
Type of sample		Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand	Sand
Date prepared	-	09/08/2021	09/08/2021	09/08/2021	09/08/2021	09/08/2021
Date analysed	-	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021
<75mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<37.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<19mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<9.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<4.75mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<2.36mm	%	99	100	99	99	100
<1.18mm	%	99	100	99	99	99
<0.6mm	%	98	100	98	98	97
<0.425mm	%	93	99	94	95	94
<0.3mm	%	64	87	77	84	90
<0.212mm	%	26	53	55	75	68
<0.15mm	%	3	17	23	42	30
<0.075mm	%	1	1	3	1	10

Particle Size Distribution in Soils				
Our Reference		275376-17	275376-18	275376-20
Your Reference	UNITS	SB12	SB13	DUP2
Date Sampled		05/08/2021	05/08/2021	04/08/2021
Type of sample		Sand	Sand	Sand
Date prepared	-	09/08/2021	09/08/2021	09/08/2021
Date analysed	-	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021
<75mm	%	100	100	100
<37.5mm	%	100	100	100
<19mm	%	100	100	100
<9.5mm	%	100	100	100
<4.75mm	%	100	99	100
<2.36mm	%	100	97	100
<1.18mm	%	99	95	100
<0.6mm	%	99	91	99
<0.425mm	%	97	81	98
<0.3mm	%	90	68	86
<0.212mm	%	83	56	60
<0.15mm	%	44	36	22
<0.075mm	%	2	3	10

Method ID	Methodology Summary
Inorg-054	Carbonates in Soil - Soil is titrated with dilute HCl and residual acid is titrated. Based upon Rayment and Lyons 2011.
Inorg-107	Particle Size Distribution using AS1269.3.6.3 and AS1269.3.6.1 and in house INORG-107.

QUALITY	CONTROL	Misc Ino	rg - Soil			Du	plicate		Spike Re	covery %
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			16/08/2021	1	16/08/2021	16/08/2021		16/08/2021	
Date analysed	-			17/08/2021	1	17/08/2021	17/08/2021		17/08/2021	
Carbonate Estimate*	%	0.01	Inorg-054	<0.01	1	15	14	7	111	
QUALITY CONTROL: Misc Inorg - Soil								1. Contract (1997)		
	CONTROL	Misc Ino	ra - Soil			Du	plicate		Snike Re	coverv %
QUALITY Test Description	CONTROL: Units	Misc Ino PQL	rg - Soil Method	Blank	#	Du Base	plicate Dup.	RPD	Spike Re [NT]	covery % [NT]
				Blank [NT]	# 11			RPD		
Test Description	Units					Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]

Result Definiti	ons
NT	Not tested
NA	Test not required
INS	Insufficient sample for this test
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
<	Less than
>	Greater than
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
NS	Not specified
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NR	Not Reported

Quality Contro	ol Definitions
Blank	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.
Duplicate	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.
Matrix Spike	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.
LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.
Surrogate Spike	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which

Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.

are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.

The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.

Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.



DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Report Details	
Envirolab Report Reference	<u>275376</u>
Client ID	Environmental Projects
Project Reference	21118.01, Metro Beaches Sand Sampling
Date Issued	18/08/2021

QC DATA

All laboratory QC data was within the Envirolab Group's specifications.

HOLDING TIME COMPLIANCE EVALUATION

All preservation / holding times (based on AS/ASPHA/ISO/NEPM/USEPA reference documents and standards) are compliant.

Certain analyses have had their recommended technical holding times elongated by filtering and/or freezing on receipt at the laboratory (e.g. BOD, chlorophyll/Pheophytin, nutrients and acid sulphate soil tests).

COMPLIANCE TO QC FREQUENCY (NEPM)

Internal laboratory QC rate complies with NEPM requirements (LCS/MB/MS 1 in 20, Duplicates 1 in 10 samples). Note, samples are batched together with other sample consignments in order to assign QC sample frequency.

QC Evaluation	
Duplicate(s) was performed as per NEPM frequency	\checkmark
Laboratory Control Sample(s) were analysed with the samples received	\checkmark
A Method Blank was performed with the samples received	\checkmark
Matrix spike(s) was performed as per NEPM frequency (Not Applicable for Air samples)	\checkmark

Refer to Certificate of Analysis for all Quality Control data.

Upderted CoC 275729.

CHAIN OF CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION - Environmental Projects

Project Title :	Metro Beaches Sand Sampling	
Job Number :	21118.01	
Project Manager:	Lisa Bailie	
Phone:	0407 713 536	Primary Laboratory: EnviroLab
Email:	lisa.baille@environmentalprojects.com.au	Laboratory Quote Ref: 21SA077
	richard.lewis@environmentalprojects.com.au	
Results to: Lab.	Results@environmentalprojects.com.au	
	richard.lewis@environmentalprojects.com.au	Secondary Laboratory: ALS
Invoice to: acc	counts@environmentalprojects.com.au	Laboratory Quote Ref:

ļ	COC REFERENCE]				Turnaround Required							
		COC-02													Stand	dard
۲. ۲.					1					<u> </u>						
- <u>-</u>	SAMPLE		1		<u> </u>											
Contract Laboratory Sample ID	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Sample Matrix / Type	PSD wet seiving	Calcium carbonate content		-				· ·					Additional Comments / Notes
	\$B19	10.8.21	Sand	1	1								1			
2	SB20	10.8.21	Sand	1	1											
3	SB21	10.8.21	Sand	1	1											
4	SB22	10.8.21	Sand	1	1				ļ		L		[
Ş	S823	10.8.21	Sand	1		-			<u> </u>							
6	SB24	10.8.21	Sand	1	1							<u> </u>				
P	SB25 SB26	10.8.21	Sand	1	1				<u> </u>							
G	Dup 5	10.8.21	Sand Sand	1	1									_		
lo	Dup 6	10.8.21	Sand	1	1	-								-		
	··				-								-			
														<u> </u>		
						-								<u>† </u>		
	_															
														1	-	
									_							
												<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
		-									<u> </u>					· ·
						-										
											_					
EP AUTHORISA		LABORAT	ORY RECEIPT													
Requested by:			Received by:								Ac	dition	l. al Com	ments		
Richard Lewis			Nime and - has d													
Date\time requested;			Ntime received:	PSD W	et selving 1 as % pa	In accor ssing, Ca	dance w alcium c	ith AS arbonat	1289.3.6 e conter	.1 (inclu t analys	ding siev is using	ve sizes method	9.5, 4.7 19A1, u	5, 2.36, using the	1.18, 0.0 entire s	500, 0.425, 0.300, 0.212, 0.150 and 0.075 mm ample (not just <2mm particles).
Signature	tur	1 <u>2(08 2:</u> 1 ^{12:} 3								-	2			2		

CHAIN OF CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION - Environmental Projects

Project Title : Metro Beaches Sand Sampling



. .

Project Manager: Lisa Bailie

 Phone:
 0407 713 536

 Email:
 lisa.bailie@environmentalprojects.com.au

Primary Laboratory: EnviroLab Laboratory Quote Ref: 21 SA 077

Results to: Lab.Results@environmentalprojects.com.au

richard.lewis@environmentalprojects.com.au Secondary Laboratory: ALS

Invoice to: accounts@environmentalprojects.com,au

richard.lewis@environmentalprojects.com.au

Laboratory Quote Ref:

	COC REFERENCE				Turnaround Required							Required				
	coc-02														Stand	lard
	SAMPLI	E DETAILS		•						c	HEMIC	AL TE	STING	REQ	UIRED) '
Contract Laboratory Sample ID	Sample ID	Sampled	Sample Matrix / Type	PSO Wet Seining	Calcium Cebunk Content											Additional Comments / Notes
1 2 3 4 5 5 7 7 5 7 7 5 7 7 5 7 7 5 7 7 5 7 7 7 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7									ime ecei emp oolin	No: Recorded		tatss Ph tien bien Biok				St rec.
Dabitime roquesto 11/8/21 Signature:		. ^	Lime received: 2) Signature:	and 0. <2mm	Vet seivi 075 mm particles	reporte	cordan d as %	ce with passin	AS 12 ag. Calo	:89.3.6. sium ca	1 (inclu	iding si	eve siz	es 9.5,	4.75,	2.36, 1.18, 0.600, 0.425, 0.300, 0.212, 0.150 Ihod 19A1, using the entire sample (not just



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd ABN 37 112 535 645 12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067 ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201 customerservice@envirolab.com.au www.envirolab.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 275729

Client Details	
Client	Environmental Projects
Attention	Lisa Bailie
Address	Suite 3/117 King William St, ADELAIDE, SA, 5000

Sample Details	
Your Reference	21118.01, Metro Beaches Sand Sampling
Number of Samples	10 Soil
Date samples received	12/08/2021
Date completed instructions received	12/08/2021

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.

Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.

Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

Report Details						
Date results requested by	26/08/2021					
Date of Issue	20/08/2021					
NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.						
Accredited for compliance with	ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with *					

Results Approved By Diego Bigolin, Inorganics Supervisor Authorised By

Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager



Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		275729-1	275729-2	275729-3	275729-4	275729-5
Your Reference	UNITS	SB19	SB20	SB21	SB22	SB23
Date Sampled		10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021
Date analysed	-	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021
Carbonate Estimate*	%	11	12	12	19	10

Misc Inorg - Soil					
Our Reference		275729-6	275729-7	275729-8	275729-10
Your Reference	UNITS	SB24	SB25	SB26	DUP6
Date Sampled		10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021
Date analysed	-	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021
Carbonate Estimate*	%	12	22	23	12

Particle Size Distribution in Soils						
Our Reference		275729-1	275729-2	275729-3	275729-4	275729-5
Your Reference	UNITS	SB19	SB20	SB21	SB22	SB23
Date Sampled		10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021
Date analysed	-	18/08/2021	18/08/2021	18/08/2021	18/08/2021	18/08/2021
<75mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<37.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<19mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<9.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<4.75mm	%	99	100	100	99	99
<2.36mm	%	98	99	99	97	99
<1.18mm	%	96	98	99	94	99
<0.6mm	%	93	95	97	90	98
<0.425mm	%	85	92	92	83	92
<0.3mm	%	60	81	73	71	70
<0.212mm	%	30	60	49	59	48
<0.15mm	%	7	16	22	34	17
<0.075mm	%	1	1	3	5	5

Particle Size Distribution in Soils					
Our Reference		275729-6	275729-7	275729-8	275729-10
Your Reference	UNITS	SB24	SB25	SB26	DUP6
Date Sampled		10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021	10/08/2021
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021	17/08/2021
Date analysed	-	18/08/2021	18/08/2021	18/08/2021	18/08/2021
<75mm	%	100	100	100	100
<37.5mm	%	100	100	100	100
<19mm	%	100	99	100	100
<9.5mm	%	100	99	100	100
<4.75mm	%	99	99	100	100
<2.36mm	%	98	97	99	99
<1.18mm	%	97	96	98	98
<0.6mm	%	96	95	97	96
<0.425mm	%	92	93	94	90
<0.3mm	%	78	85	84	71
<0.212mm	%	56	76	76	50
<0.15mm	%	25	45	50	16
<0.075mm	%	4	15	3	1

Method ID	Methodology Summary
Inorg-054	Carbonates in Soil - Soil is titrated with dilute HCl and residual acid is titrated. Based upon Rayment and Lyons 2011.
Inorg-107	Particle Size Distribution using AS1269.3.6.3 and AS1269.3.6.1 and in house INORG-107.

QUALITY		Du	Spike Recovery %							
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			19/08/2021	1	19/08/2021	19/08/2021		19/08/2021	
Date analysed	-			19/08/2021	1	19/08/2021	19/08/2021		19/08/2021	
Carbonate Estimate*	%	0.01	Inorg-054	<0.01	1	11	11	0	111	[NT]

Result Definiti	ons
NT	Not tested
NA	Test not required
INS	Insufficient sample for this test
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
<	Less than
>	Greater than
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
NS	Not specified
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NR	Not Reported

Quality Control Definitions								
Blank	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.							
Duplicate	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.							
Matrix Spike	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.							
LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.							
Surrogate Spike	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which							

Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.

are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.

The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.

Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.



DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Report Details	
Envirolab Report Reference	<u>275729</u>
Client ID	Environmental Projects
Project Reference	21118.01, Metro Beaches Sand Sampling
Date Issued	20/08/2021

QC DATA

All laboratory QC data was within the Envirolab Group's specifications.

HOLDING TIME COMPLIANCE EVALUATION

All preservation / holding times (based on AS/ASPHA/ISO/NEPM/USEPA reference documents and standards) are compliant.

Certain analyses have had their recommended technical holding times elongated by filtering and/or freezing on receipt at the laboratory (e.g. BOD, chlorophyll/Pheophytin, nutrients and acid sulphate soil tests).

COMPLIANCE TO QC FREQUENCY (NEPM)

Internal laboratory QC rate complies with NEPM requirements (LCS/MB/MS 1 in 20, Duplicates 1 in 10 samples). Note, samples are batched together with other sample consignments in order to assign QC sample frequency.

QC Evaluation	
Duplicate(s) was performed as per NEPM frequency	\checkmark
Laboratory Control Sample(s) were analysed with the samples received	\checkmark
A Method Blank was performed with the samples received	\checkmark
Matrix spike(s) was performed as per NEPM frequency (Not Applicable for Air samples)	\checkmark

Refer to Certificate of Analysis for all Quality Control data.

	roject Títle : ob Number :	Metro Beac	hes Sand Sam	pling	-, -											ep
	ect Manager:	Lisa Bailie	•													
110)8	Phone:	0407 713 5	36				D -	imary	Labor	atone	Envio	al ab				
	Email:		vironmentalproj					-		-			4 07	. –		
	Eman.		@environmental				Lau	0141013		e nei,	~	• 0		•••		
	Poculto ter l															
	Results to.	.ab.Results@envir	@environmentalp				6									
	Invoice to:	accounts@enviro						ndary oratory		-				$\Delta 2$	c	2758425
	involce to.	accounts then with	inite marphoreets.	<u>outau</u>			Lab	biatory		e Nei.				প	ł	
					,					r						
		COC REFER											Tu	-		Required
-		ĊOC-	93												Stand	[`]
	SAMPL	E DETAILS			1	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	C1	HEMIC	AL TE	STING R	EQI	UIRED	
Contract Laboratory Sample ID	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Sample Matrix / Type	Selwing	ab Carbant											Additional Comments / Notes
				PSO WUL SE	Calciun Cobonah							_				
1	5027				1											
2	5028			١	1											
3 4 5 NR	SBLA			١	1											
4	5,630			ŧ	١											
5	5671			(1											
NR	5132	_			1											
ιώ 7	5823			1	١											
7	5634			1	1											
8	5835			L	4											
9	5836			(1											
10	5937			1	1											Frivitalah Services
	5838			1	1									E	ŃR	DLAB 12 Ashley St
12	5639			l	١										A	Chatswood NSW 2067 Ph: (02) 9910 6200
13	sbuo			۱	1									<u>J</u>	۸ de	
14 15	pup 7	1		1	1											12/0101
21	Dup8															leceived: 13 8 2/ Received: 0 300
																ed By: VV
																CoolAmbient
																g: Ice/leepack
							:			-				3	ouri	y: Intact/Broken/None
							1				-					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
							1									
							t		1					-		
EP AUTHORI	SATION	LABORAT	ORY RECEIPT			<u> </u>	1									
Requested by: Levi	'n	DTod					<u> </u>						l Comme			
Data timo requesta	isib.	12/3/21	eltime received: 15:16	and 0 <2mm	.075 mm particles	reporte 3).	ed as %	passin	ig. Calc	ium car	rbonate	conten	t analysis	usi	ng meti	2.36, 1.18, 0.600, 0.425, 0.300, 0.212, 0.150 hod 19A1, using the entire sample (not just
NAT	,	He	Signature:	f	len	. rst	R -	50	ي 20	npli	, her	r i	c ary	is f	<i>b</i> r	Sorgh.

CHAIN OF CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION - Environmental Projects

.

· · ·

. .



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd ABN 37 112 535 645 12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067 ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201 customerservice@envirolab.com.au www.envirolab.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 275845

Client Details	
Client	Environmental Projects
Attention	Lisa Bailie
Address	Suite 3/117 King William St, ADELAIDE, SA, 5000

Sample Details	
Your Reference	21118.01, Metro Beaches Sand Sampling
Number of Samples	15 Soil
Date samples received	13/08/2021
Date completed instructions received	13/08/2021

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.

Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.

Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

Report Details					
Date results requested by	27/08/2021				
Date of Issue	25/08/2021				
NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.					
Accredited for compliance with ISC	/IEC 17025 - Testing. Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with *				

Results Approved By Diego Bigolin, Inorganics Supervisor Authorised By

Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager



Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		275845-1	275845-2	275845-3	275845-4	275845-5
Your Reference	UNITS	SB27	SB28	SB29	SB30	SB31
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021
Date analysed	-	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021
Carbonate Estimate*	%	20	22	15	17	17

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		275845-6	275845-7	275845-8	275845-9	275845-10
Your Reference	UNITS	SB33	SB34	SB35	SB36	SB37
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021
Date analysed	-	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021
Carbonate Estimate*	%	15	22	9.9	11	11

Misc Inorg - Soil					
Our Reference		275845-11	275845-12	275845-13	275845-14
Your Reference	UNITS	SB38	SB39	SB40	Dup7
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021
Date analysed	-	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021	25/08/2021
Carbonate Estimate*	%	17	6.8	10	22

Particle Size Distribution in Soils				_		
Our Reference		275845-1	275845-2	275845-3	275845-4	275845-5
Your Reference	UNITS	SB27	SB28	SB29	SB30	SB31
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021
Date analysed	-	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021
<75mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<37.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<19mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<9.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<4.75mm	%	100	99	100	100	100
<2.36mm	%	99	99	99	98	100
<1.18mm	%	99	97	98	96	99
<0.6mm	%	98	94	97	94	98
<0.425mm	%	95	87	93	90	96
<0.3mm	%	89	78	83	78	95
<0.212mm	%	82	71	69	58	92
<0.15mm	%	55	46	37	24	59
<0.075mm	%	2	4	1	1	1

Particle Size Distribution in Soils						
Our Reference		275845-6	275845-7	275845-8	275845-9	275845-10
Your Reference	UNITS	SB33	SB34	SB35	SB36	SB37
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021
Date analysed	-	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021
<75mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<37.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<19mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<9.5mm	%	100	100	100	100	100
<4.75mm	%	100	99	99	100	99
<2.36mm	%	100	98	97	100	98
<1.18mm	%	99	96	94	99	96
<0.6mm	%	98	92	88	98	91
<0.425mm	%	97	88	78	96	81
<0.3mm	%	95	78	49	89	51
<0.212mm	%	86	51	17	66	18
<0.15mm	%	35	11	1	15	2
<0.075mm	%	<1	1	<1	<1	<1

Particle Size Distribution in Soils					
Our Reference		275845-11	275845-12	275845-13	275845-14
Your Reference	UNITS	SB38	SB39	SB40	Dup7
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021
Date analysed	-	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021	19/08/2021
<75mm	%	100	100	100	100
<37.5mm	%	100	100	100	100
<19mm	%	100	100	100	100
<9.5mm	%	99	100	100	100
<4.75mm	%	96	100	100	99
<2.36mm	%	90	100	100	97
<1.18mm	%	84	99	99	96
<0.6mm	%	74	98	98	92
<0.425mm	%	64	95	93	87
<0.3mm	%	42	79	81	74
<0.212mm	%	15	39	52	42
<0.15mm	%	1	7	16	10
<0.075mm	%	<1	1	<1	1

Method ID	Methodology Summary
Inorg-054	Carbonates in Soil - Soil is titrated with dilute HCl and residual acid is titrated. Based upon Rayment and Lyons 2011.
Inorg-107	Particle Size Distribution using AS1269.3.6.3 and AS1269.3.6.1 and in house INORG-107.

QUALITY	CONTROL:	Misc Ino	rg - Soil			Du	plicate		Spike Re	covery %
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			25/08/2021	1	25/08/2021	25/08/2021		25/08/2021	
Date analysed	-			25/08/2021	1	25/08/2021	25/08/2021		25/08/2021	
Carbonate Estimate*	%	0.01	Inorg-054	<0.01	1	20	22	10	98	
QUALITY CONTROL: Misc Inorg - Soil Duplicate Spike Recovery %										
QUALITY	CONTROL	Misc Ino	ra - Soil			Du	nlicate		Snike Re	coverv %
QUALITY Test Description	CONTROL: Units	Misc Ino	rg - Soil Method	Blank	#	Du Base	plicate Dup.	RPD	Spike Re [NT]	covery % [NT]
				Blank [NT]	# 11			RPD		
Test Description	Units					Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]

Result Definiti	ons				
NT	Not tested				
NA	Test not required				
INS	Insufficient sample for this test				
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit				
<	Less than				
>	Greater than				
RPD	Relative Percent Difference				
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample				
NS	Not specified				
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure				
NR	Not Reported				

Quality Control Definitions					
Blank	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.				
Duplicate	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.				
Matrix Spike	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.				
LCS (Laboratory Control Sample) This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or w with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.					
Surrogate Spike	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which				

Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.

are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.

The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.

Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.



DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Report Details	
Envirolab Report Reference	<u>275845</u>
Client ID	Environmental Projects
Project Reference	21118.01, Metro Beaches Sand Sampling
Date Issued	25/08/2021

QC DATA

All laboratory QC data was within the Envirolab Group's specifications.

HOLDING TIME COMPLIANCE EVALUATION

All preservation / holding times (based on AS/ASPHA/ISO/NEPM/USEPA reference documents and standards) are compliant.

Certain analyses have had their recommended technical holding times elongated by filtering and/or freezing on receipt at the laboratory (e.g. BOD, chlorophyll/Pheophytin, nutrients and acid sulphate soil tests).

COMPLIANCE TO QC FREQUENCY (NEPM)

Internal laboratory QC rate complies with NEPM requirements (LCS/MB/MS 1 in 20, Duplicates 1 in 10 samples). Note, samples are batched together with other sample consignments in order to assign QC sample frequency.

QC Evaluation	
Duplicate(s) was performed as per NEPM frequency	\checkmark
Laboratory Control Sample(s) were analysed with the samples received	\checkmark
A Method Blank was performed with the samples received	\checkmark
Matrix spike(s) was performed as per NEPM frequency (Not Applicable for Air samples)	\checkmark

Refer to Certificate of Analysis for all Quality Control data.