

SOUTH AUSTRALIAN HERITAGE COUNCIL

MINUTES

The 126th Meeting of the South Australian Heritage Council (the Council) was held via electronic means on Thursday 7 April 2022.

Statement of Acknowledgement

The Chairperson acknowledged that this land that the Council members meet on today is the traditional lands for Kurna people and that the Council respect their spiritual relationship with their country. The Chairperson also acknowledged the Kurna people as the custodians of the Adelaide region and that their cultural and heritage beliefs are still as important to the living Kurna people today.

The Chairperson acknowledged that one of the Council members was connecting into the meeting from Port Lincoln, on the traditional lands of the Barngarla people, and another member was meeting on Peramangk Country.

Heritage Council: Chair: Mr Keith Conlon OAM Members: Ms Jan Ferguson OAM, Mr Stephen Schrapel, Ms Eleanor Walters, Mr Marcus Rolfe, Ms Kate McDougall, Mr Gavin Leydon, Ms Peggy Brock AM and Mr Rob Donaldson.

Secretariat: Mr David Hanna, Executive Officer, Heritage South Australia, Department for Environment and Water (DEW) and Ms Beverley Voigt, Manager, Heritage South Australia, DEW.

1. WELCOME AND APOLOGIES

Mr Conlon welcomed all to the 126th meeting of the South Australian Heritage Council (the Council).

Apologies from Mrs Deborah Lindsay. It was noted that Mrs Lindsay declared a conflict of interest in relation to several matters on the agenda and believed it more appropriate for an Acting Member to attend the meeting. Mr Conlon welcomed Mr Rob Donaldson to the meeting in his role as Acting Member.

2. ADOPTION OF AGENDA AND DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Agenda

The agenda was adopted without amendment.

Declarations of conflict of interest

The following conflicts of interest were declared:

- Mr Marcus Rolfe declared a conflict of interest in relation to the Adelaide Park Lands matter, Item 6.2, as his firm, URPS, has been involved in the planning for the new Women's and Children's Hospital. It was noted Mr Rolfe would not participate in this discussion.
- Mr Stephen Schrapel declared a conflict of interest in relation to the former West End Brewery matters, Item 8.1 and Item 8.2, as his brother is employed by Lion. It was noted that Mr Schrapel would not participate in this discussion.
- Mr Gavin Leydon declared a perceived conflict of interest in relation to Item 7.1 Former Callington Smelter and Item 7.2 Hardy's Winery as clients of his firm, Norman Waterhouse include the District Council of Mt Barker and City of Onkaparinga Council – both of whom are involved in these respective matters.

3 PREVIOUS MEETING MINUTES

Council considered the minutes of the 17 February 2022 meeting and approved them without amendment.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Approved** the minutes of the 17 February 2022 meeting.

4. ACTION ITEMS

Council noted the status of action items.

Action: Ms Voigt noted that she would discuss with Ms Linda Lacey next steps in relation to the Heritage Tourism Alliance.

Action: Heritage SA will investigate the possible listing of the Vickers Vimy aircraft given it is located on Commonwealth land. Heritage SA will advise the Council of its findings.

Ms Voigt noted the current progress with the Heritage Standards for the Adelaide Park Lands.

Mr Conlon noted he had organised to attend a meeting of the SA Planning Commission. Mr Conlon sought expressions of interest from Council members interested in attending the meeting with him. Ms Walters, Mr Leydon, Mr Rolfe and Ms Ferguson all indicated a desire to attend.

Action: Mr Conlon to follow up with the SA Planning Commission to ascertain number of Council members that can attend.

It was noted that State Commission Assessment Panel (SCAP) does not have any member that was selected purely for their heritage expertise or knowledge.

RESOLUTION

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Noted** the status of the action list.

5. IN CAMERA DISCUSSION

The Council had an in-camera discussion pursuant to section 7 (6) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993*.

Text removed for publication

6 FOR DISCUSSION / DECISION

6.1 Heritage Advisory Service

It was noted that a working group of Ms McDougall, Mr Leydon, Mr Rolfe, Mr Schrapel and Ms Walters (Council members) along with Ms Voigt and Mr Queale (Heritage SA) met on 15 February 2021 to discuss this matter.

The working group discussed whether seeking support for a re-activated Heritage Advisory Service is justified, so that the Council can reasonably make a case to the incoming Minister.

The working group proposed the following:

- (A) Undertake a survey of local councils, around what is working and not working.
 - a. Need to ensure the survey questions help to inform these issues and problems the Heritage Council is wanting to resolve
 - b. Engage with the LGA to help formulate the survey. Check the right questions are being asked.
 - c. Potentially test survey on one or two Local Councils first.
- (B) Engage early with LGA and PLUS as partners.

Council discussed the following in relation to the recommendations of the working group:

- There was a divergence of views within the working group about recommending to have Heritage Advisors based in Local Councils or reporting to Heritage SA.
- Agreed that it is important to include Out of Council Areas as part of the survey.
- Loss of heritage trades is an emerging issue along with the professional development of architects and advisors – and the lack of resources for that. There is a need to gather some movement to upskill people.
- Need to investigate the capacity of Local Government to support the resourcing of Heritage Advisors.

- Agreed that the LGA ought to be consulted as part of the survey.
- The new Planning and Design Code has changed the way the community can interact with planners and advisers. The new system is more technical and rules driven and can make it more difficult for the community to access advice.
- Noted the importance of having a pool of skilled professionals that can provide advice and do the work required.
- Noted that outback areas rely fully on the advice of the Heritage Conservation Architect from Heritage SA. There is no other support for owners of State Heritage Places in outback areas.
- Noted the value of a revised edition of the McDougall and Vines hand book.
- Noted that the clients of an expanded heritage advisory service are both the community and the decision makers. Both benefit from the service.

Action: Ms Voigt to lead on designing a survey.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Noted** and **Discussed** the issues raised by the working group
- **Approved** Heritage South Australia administering a survey of Local Council's and Outback Areas to gather evidence of the issue.
- **Noted** that the results of the survey will be collated and presented to Council to assist in forming advice to the Minister.

6.2 Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout State Heritage Area – Revised Statement of Significance

It was noted that Mr Marcus Rolfe departed the meeting for this item due to his declared conflict of interest.

Council heard that after the implementation of *Planning Development and Infrastructure Act 2016* (PDI) on 1 July 2020, an instrument to manage each State Heritage Area (including the proposed Adelaide Park Lands) under the PDI is required. During the development of the instrument for Colonel Light Gardens in 2021 it was determined that the instrument would be called a Heritage Standards. These replace the need for a Conservation Management Plan.

Heritage South Australia have identified that the Statement of Significance previously prepared does not adequately identify and convey the significance of the Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout in a succinct form.

While the Statement of Significance prepared in 2018 has been considered by the Council, it has not been approved by the Council.

A more succinct Statement of Significance has been drafted and was presented to the Council for its consideration and endorsement. If approved it will be the Statement of Significance used in the Heritage Standards and on the South Australian Heritage Register.

Council discussed the revised statement and the following issues were raised:

- It was noted that the value of our city town acres benefits from the existence of the Park Lands, but no specific changes were requested in relation to this.
- Heritage SA will ensure that the correct spelling of principle / principal is used in the revised statement.
- The Park Lands cater for a significant number of small and large events and activities. It was agreed that a statement to this effect ought to be included.

Action: Mr Hanna to provide revised version out of session for Council approval.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Noted** an amended Statement of Significance for the proposed Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout State Heritage Area will be circulated via email out of session for approval.

7 ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED FOR PROVISIONAL ENTRY ON THE SA HERITAGE REGISTER

7.1 Former Callington Smelting Works, 22 Callington Road, Callington – Peramangk Country

Mr Rolfe returned to the meeting at this point.

Mr Leydon departed the meeting due to his declared conflict of interest in this matter and item 7.2.

Mr Conlon welcomed Mr Glenn Searle, District Council of Mount Barker, as an observer.

Mr Chris Burns, Heritage SA, introduced this matter to Council.

Mr Burns noted that on 25 May 2020, a member of the public nominated the former Callington Smelting Works, 22 Callington Road, Callington for listing as a State Heritage Place in the South Australian Heritage Register as they believe it meets all seven s16 criteria in the *Heritage Places Act 1993*.

An Assessment of the former Callington Smelting Works has been prepared and recommends that the place meets criteria (b) and (c) under s16 of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* for listing as a State Heritage Place.

Mr Burns noted that the site demonstrates more than one stage of the Welsh Process of smelting and it is a rare site which can be clearly interpreted as to its form and function.

There are only two smelting furnaces that are able to be identified in SA with this physical fabric.

Mr. Burns discussed Heritage SA's position on Designation, noting that it is not currently recommended for this site, as listing will give it a level of protection. Mr Burns noted that if Council do not indicate an Intent to designate today, it does not preclude them from doing so in the future, even if it is after Confirmation of the place.

Mr Burns noted that the archaeological dig only went down to 19th century level depth. It was noted that the ruins will deteriorate over time.

Mr Conlon invited Mr Searle to comment on the District Council of Mount Barker's plans for the Callington site. Mr. Searle noted that a Township Plan has been completed for Callington in consultation with the community. It has been endorsed by his Local Council. The plan places a big emphasis on heritage protection. The Local Council has been doing work to redevelop the recreation grounds. It was noted there is no planned expansion of recreation use into the area where the smelter is.

Mr Schrapel noted that the Australian Heritage Council have a good policy document on protection of ruins.

Council discussed and concurred with the assessment and agreed to provisionally enter this place under criteria (b) and (c).

Council approved the Summary of State Heritage Place subject to one minor amendment on page ten by changing the word "were" to "where" in the following statement "numerous smelters were ore and timber were abundant".

This decision now triggers three months of community consultation.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Provisionally entered** the Former Callington Smelting Works, 22 Callington Road, Callington (CT 5398/700 A15 DP28328, CT 5255/495 A16 F160755 Hundred of Kanmantoo) in the South Australian Heritage Register as a State Heritage Place, as it is deemed to fulfil criteria (b) and (c) for State heritage listing under section 16(1) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993*.
- **Approved** the Summary of State Heritage Place for the Former Callington Smelting Works, 22 Callington Road, Callington

Criterion (b) – it has rare, uncommon or endangered qualities that are of cultural significance;

Criterion (c) it may yield information that will contribute to an understanding of the State's history, including its natural history.

7.2 Former Hardy's (Reynell's Winery, 38-44 Panalatinga Road, Reynella – Kaurana Country.

Mr Conlon welcomed Mr Ben Scott, Mr Jason Schulz and Mr Peter Bell appearing on behalf of the owner.

Ms Divya Bali Dogra, Mr Matthew Lawrence, Mr Ben Victory and Mr Jonathan Luke were also welcomed to the meeting, appearing on behalf of the City of Onkaparinga.

Dr Louise Bird, Heritage SA, provided an overview and it was noted that on 27 July 2021, a nomination was received from the City of Onkaparinga requesting a review of several unlisted structures and landscape elements at the former Hardy's (former Reynell's) Winery and Farm Complex (including Maintenance Shed, Still House, Haselgrove Room, Carew's Cottage, Sunken Rose Garden, Pine Trees, Olives and Outbuildings), 38-44 Panalatinga Road, Old Reynella.

'Hardy's Reynella Winery' (SHP 12720), known as Hardy's (former Reynell's) Winery including Pigeon Loft, Cave Cellar No 1, Reynell's House (now offices), the Dairy, the Shell of the Chateau and the Boiler Stack' are already listed as a State Heritage Place.

The nominator believes that the Outbuildings (one once possibly stables and more recently a cellar door), Carew's Cottage, sunken rose garden and pine trees, Sid's Cottage, Cottage, Olive Grove, Maintenance shed, Still House and Haselgrove Room, meet section 16 criteria (a), (b), (c) and (g) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993*.

The nominator also advised that some elements of the property are under threat of demolition, while the winery and surrounding land are to be redeveloped.

An Assessment Report for the nominated elements at the Former Hardy's (former Reynell's) Winery and Farm Complex was provided for Council's consideration and recommends that the 'Outbuilding, former Reynell's Winery and Farm Complex' meets criterion (a) under s16 of the *Heritage Places Act 1993*.

Dr Bird noted the other outbuilding has been converted to a cellar door and the assessment found too much change had occurred to warrant State Heritage consideration.

Mr Conlon invited Ms Divya Bali-Dogra, City of Onkaparinga to make a comment on the nomination.

Ms Bali-Dogra thanked the Council for the opportunity to speak and appreciated receiving a copy of the rigorous assessment undertaken by Dr Louise Bird.

The City of Onkaparinga support the listing of the outbuilding as proposed in the assessment. Ms Bali-Dogra asked that the Council consider incorporating the Local Heritage Places in interpretation and promotion of the heritage site.

Mr Conlon thanked Ms Bali-Dogra and invited Mr Jason Schulz to provide comment on behalf of the owner.

Mr Schulz thanked the Council for the opportunity to speak and noted the following:

- This site has been subject to a constant stream of surveys.
- Two recent surveys concluded that none of the local places were of State Heritage significance.
- The role of John Reynell in the South Australian wine industry suggesting his level of significance has often been exaggerated.
- Mr Hardy only worked on the site for one year in the 1850s.
- The site does not have early important role in the establishment of agriculture in South Australia.
- Current listings on the site already provide protection for the sites connection to winery.
- The outcomes of the Grieve Gillette Anderson assessment report found the farm does not meet Local listing status.
- There are 250 farms in the State Heritage Register already.
- State listing is reserved for buildings that are particularly special. These buildings are not special - they are simply old.
- This is a question of whether the nominated outbuilding meets the threshold and in his opinion, it does not.
- The farm is primarily vested in wine making and viticulture - not agriculture.
- John Reynell, respectfully, is not at the same level in terms of South Australian viticultural influence as Mr. Hardy or Mr. Penfold.
- The Outbuilding recommended by DEW is a peripheral and ancillary building.
- The outbuildings did not play a significant part in the history of South Australia agriculture. We find no basis to include any of the buildings nominated to be include in the nomination and the owner asked the Council to reject the listing.

Mr Conlon thanked Mr Schulz for his comments.

Dr Louise Bird said that the assessment concluded the farm is a good representative example.

Mr Conlon talked through the nomination and assessment reports with the Council. Council agreed that the Outbuilding would be the focus of its attention and all other nominated structures / items do not meet the threshold for listing and were rejected.

Council discussed how the outbuilding fits into the operations of the farm and there was general agreement that it tells the story and is significant to the complex.

There was general agreement that the Outbuilding would only possibly meet criterion a) so that would be the subject of a vote by Council. Mr Conlon asked Council members whether they supported listing the Outbuilding under criterion (a). Council members agreed to list it by a majority of four votes to two, with the Chair abstaining from voting.

Council approved the Summary of State Heritage Place with one minor amendment regarding the removal of an apostrophe on page eight of the text.

This decision now triggers three months community consultation.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

1. **Considered** the Assessment Report 'Former Hardy's (former Reynell's) Winery and Farm Complex (including Maintenance Shed, Still House, Haselgrove Room, Carew's Cottage, Sunken Rose Garden, Pine Trees, Olive Grove, Outbuildings)'.
2. **Provisionally entered** the Outbuilding, Former Reynell's Winery And Farm Complex, Panalatinga Road, Old Reynella (CT 6259/50 D126105 A804 Hundred of Noarlunga) in the South Australian Heritage Register as a State Heritage Place, as it is deemed to fulfil criterion (a) for State heritage listing under s16 of the *Heritage Places Act 1993*.

Criterion (a) – it demonstrates important aspects of the evolution or pattern of the State's history.

- **Approved** the Summary of State Heritage Place for the Outbuilding, Former Reynell's Winery And Farm Complex, Panalatinga Road, Old Reynella (CT 6259/50 D126105 A804 Hundred of Noarlunga).
- **Rejected** the Outbuilding (Cellar Door), Carew's Cottage, Sunken Rose Garden, Pine Trees, Olive Grove, Haselgrove Room, Maintenance Shed and Still House (CT 6259/47 D126105 A801, CT 6259/50 D126105 A804 and CT 6259/51 D126105 A805, Hundred of Noarlunga) as they do not meet any of the s16 criteria of the *Heritage Places Act 1993*.

8 ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED FOR CONFIRMATION IN THE SA HERITAGE REGISTER

8.1 Remains of 'Theberton Hall' Colonel William Light's House (including Underground Room, Underground Tank and Well) Kaurna Country.

Mr Leydon returned to the meeting at this point.

It was noted that Mr Stephen Schrapel departed the meeting due to his conflict of interest in this matter and Item 8.2.

Ms Caitlin Rorke-Wickins from the City of West Torrens was welcomed to the meeting as an observer.

It was noted that on 20 May 2021, the South Australian Heritage Council provisionally entered the Remains of Thebarton Hall, Colonel William Light's House (including underground room, tank and well), 107 Port Road, Thebarton as a State Heritage Place in the South Australian Heritage Register under s17(2)(b) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* to protect it while an assessment of its heritage significance is carried out.

Archaeological investigations have since been carried out and support confirmation of the place under s16 criterion (c) of the Act.

The period for making a public submission closed 3 September 2021. It was noted that one submission was received.

A Summary of State Heritage Place was provided for the Council's consideration and approval.

It was noted that Thebarton Hall (Cottage) was demolished in 1926, just prior to the construction of the foundry buildings and then factory (now brewery buildings) that cover the discovered features.

Council noted that in January 2022 Heritage South Australia engaged an archaeologist, Associate Professor Ian Moffat of Flinders University to undertake a survey and interpret the findings of Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR).

On 26 March 2022, Assoc. Prof. Moffat was able to survey a portion of the site aligned with an area identified through historical evidence as the likely location of the remains of Thebarton Hall (Cottage).

Due to demolition of asbestos structures and the placement of a crane it was not possible to survey as much of the site as originally anticipated, but nonetheless, the initial findings are very encouraging.

It was noted that Assoc. Prof. Moffat has interpreted the data and provided an informal executive summary, with a full report and additional survey work to follow. Assoc. Prof. Moffat was able to achieve excellent penetration of the ground revealing several features 'which, on the basis of their size, spatial distribution, signal response, depth and the historic record of the site, are likely to relate to buildings and other features emplaced prior to the construction of the brewery'.

Dr Bird noted that Mr Conlon requested further investigation into the naming of the place before confirming it in the Register. Mr Conlon suggested that “Theberton Cottage” rather than “Theberton Hall” is most appropriate. Dr Bird noted she had undertaken supplementary research and has identified a newspaper article written by Colonel Light while residing at Theberton. He notes the name of his residence as ‘Theberton Cottage’ and it is recommended that the name of the place is changed to match. Mr Conlon added that this was the term used in the 1927 plaque identifying the site.

Council approved the name of the place to be ‘Remains of Theberton Cottage, Colonel Light’s House (including underground room, tank, well and outbuildings)’.

The GPR findings have also indicated that features beyond what was identified in the name of the place are likely to exist on site and it is recommended that ‘outbuildings’ is also added to the name of the place.

It was recommended that Council confirm the site in the Register under s16 criterion (c) and the Summary of State Heritage Place is approved.

Council noted that the size of the area to be listed is large enough to include outbuildings that were built away from the Theberton Cottage.

Council confirmed the entry and confirmed the Summary of State Heritage Place.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Approved** the change of name to ‘Remains of Theberton Cottage, Colonel Light’s House (including underground room, tank, well and outbuildings)’.
- **Considered** the supportive submission received in relation to the entry of the Remains of Theberton Cottage, Colonel Light’s House (including underground room, tank, well and outbuildings) in the South Australian Heritage Register
- **Confirmed** the entry of the Remains of Theberton Cottage, Colonel Light’s House (including underground room, tank, well and outbuildings) (CT 5941/298 D64225 A2 Hundred of Adelaide) in the South Australian Heritage Register as a State Heritage Place, pursuant to sections 18(4) & 18(5) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* as it satisfies criterion (c) under section 16(1) of the Act:
 - (c) it may yield information that will contribute to an understanding of the State’s history, including its natural history
- **Confirmed** the Summary of State Heritage Place

8.2 Object – Plaque, intrinsically associated with the Remains of “Theberton Hall” Colonel William Light’s House (including Underground Room, Underground Tank and Well) – Kurna Country.

It was noted that on 5 August 2021, the South Australian Heritage Council provisionally entered the Plaque Commemorating ‘Theberton Cottage’ (the home of Colonel William Light) as a State Heritage Object in the South Australian Heritage Register, as it is intrinsically related to the heritage significance of a State Heritage Place - s16(2)(c) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993*.

At the meeting the Council determined that the Plaque is intrinsically related to the heritage significance of two State Heritage Places namely ‘Remains of Theberton Hall, Colonel William Light’s House (including Underground Room, Underground Tank and Well) (SHP 26527) and Colonel William Light’s Grave and Monument (SHP14139)’.

Under the Heritage Places Act, the owner of a provisionally entered State Heritage Object is provided the opportunity to make a submission. Council noted that no submissions were received.

Council noted that the plaque is most closely related to the significance of the remains of Theberton Cottage and agreed to confirm it as an object intrinsically related to this Place.

The Summary of State Heritage Objects was provided for the Council’s consideration and approval. Council unanimously agreed to confirm the entry of the plaque and approved the Summary of State Heritage Object.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Confirmed** the entry of Plaque Commemorating ‘Theberton Cottage’ (the home of Colonel William Light), 107 Port Road, Thebarton in the South Australian Heritage Register as a State Heritage Object, pursuant to sections 18(4) and 18(5) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* as under section 16(2)(c) of the Act, the Council finds that the Plaque is intrinsically related to the heritage significance of a State Heritage Place – Remains of Theberton Cottage (including Underground Room, Underground Tank, Well and Outbuildings) (SHP 26527), 107 Port Road, Thebarton.
- **Approved** the Summary of State Heritage Object.

9 ITEMS FOR NOTING

9.1 Chairperson’s Report

Noted.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- Noted the report provided by the Chairperson, Mr Keith Conlon OAM.

9.2 Status of Assessments Report

Council noted the timeframes in the report provided by Dr Louise Bird.

Council acknowledged there are large numbers of assessments to be completed and recognised that it can only list at the rate our resources allow assessments to be undertaken.

Council expressed its disappointment that the Main-line Drive In Cinema at Gepps Cross was demolished by the Wallis Group while Heritage South Australia were in the process of assessing it for consideration by Council for listing in the South Australian Heritage Register.

Action: Council agreed that the provision of misleading information ought to be deemed an offence – and that it be included in desirable amendments to the *Heritage Places Act 1993*. Heritage SA committed to recording this for when it is required.

Council advocated a new policy approach which would require a commitment in writing from owners regarding safeguarding nominated places while they are assessed.

Council agreed to reject the nomination for the Mainline Drive-In given that the heritage fabric had been demolished prior to it having an opportunity to assess it.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- Noted the status of assessment reports.
- Rejected the Mainline Drive-In as it does not meet any of the criteria for listing under section 16 of the *Heritage Places Act 1993*.

9.3 Correspondence

The Council discussed the correspondence from Mrs Pam Smith re concerns about the heritage precinct within Glenithorne National Park.

Ms Voigt noted that the places of heritage significance are not under threat as part of the management and development at Glenithorne. It was noted that Mr. Richard Woods has provided management advice.

Mr Conlon to reply to the letter, noting that Council was seeking a report on the issues.

Action: Ms Voigt to discuss with colleagues in DEW and prepare a draft reply for Mr Conlon's consideration.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- Noted the correspondence sent and received since the last meeting

9.4 Delegations Report

Noted.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Noted** the delegations report.

10 Any Other Business

Mr Keith Conlon invited Ms Merridie Martin, Director, Environment, Heritage and Sustainability, DEW to provide some comments about the new Government in relation to heritage matters. Ms Martin spoke to the Council about the incoming Government's election commitments.

Mr Conlon thanked Ms Martin for her time.

CLOSE OF MEETING

Mr Conlon closed the meeting at 1:45pm.



Mr Keith Conlon OAM

Date: 26 May 2022

Chair