

SOUTH AUSTRALIAN HERITAGE COUNCIL

MINUTES

The 123rd Meeting of the South Australian Heritage Council (the Council) was held on Thursday 4 November 2021.

Statement of Acknowledgement

The Chairperson acknowledged that this land that the Council members meet on today is the traditional lands for Kurna people and that the Council respect their spiritual relationship with their country. The Chairperson also acknowledged the Kurna people as the custodians of the Adelaide region and that their cultural and heritage beliefs are still as important to the living Kurna people today.

Heritage Council: Chair: Mr Keith Conlon OAM Members: Mr Stephen Schrapel, Ms Eleanor Walters, Mrs Deborah Lindsay, Mr Marcus Rolfe, Mr Gavin Leydon and Ms Jan Ferguson OAM. Ms Kate McDougall and Mrs Peggy Brock AM.

Secretariat: Mr David Hanna, Executive Officer, Heritage South Australia, Department for Environment and Water (DEW) and Ms Anna Pope, A/Manager, Heritage South Australia, DEW.

1. WELCOME AND APOLOGIES

Mr Conlon welcomed all to the 123rd meeting of the South Australian Heritage Council (the Council).

There were no apologies.

2. ADOPTION OF AGENDA AND DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Agenda

The agenda was adopted without amendment.

Declarations of conflict of interest

The following conflicts of interest were declared:

- Mr Stephen Schrapel declared a conflict of interest in relation to the former West End Brewery matters.
- Mrs Deborah Lindsay declared a conflict of interest in relation to the former West End Brewery matters.
- Ms Eleanor Walters declared a conflict of interest in relation to the Catholic Church of the Holy Name, Stepney.

- Mr Marcus Rolfe declared a conflict of interest in relation to any discussion that may occur under “Any Other Business” pertaining to the Riverbank Precinct Code Amendment.

It was noted that these members would depart the meeting at the time of discussion for the items they had declared a conflict.

3 PREVIOUS MEETING MINUTES

Council considered the minutes of the 11 October 2021 meeting and approved them subject to the below amendments:

- Mr Rolfe had declared a conflict of interest rather than registered as an apology.
- Correct the spelling of the word “retaining” on page 3.

It was noted that these minutes will not be put on the Council’s website as the meeting was held in-confidence.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Approved** the minutes of the 11 October 2021 meeting subject to the above amendments.

4. ACTION ITEMS

Council noted the status of action items.

RESOLUTION

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Noted** the status of the action list.

5. IN CAMERA DISCUSSION

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

6 FOR DISCUSSION / DECISION

6.1 Identification of fossil heritage

Ms Megan Thomas was welcomed to the meeting for a presentation on her project.

Ms Thomas introduced herself as a PhD student from University of Adelaide.

The Council were provided with the Fossil Heritage Survey for South Australia as part of the meeting papers package. Ms Thomas noted the aim of the desk-top Fossil Heritage Survey was to compile and analyse information about South Australian fossil sites to determine which places should be prioritised for future heritage listing.

Ms Thomas noted that South Australia contains a wealth of highly significant fossil sites that help to tell the story of the earliest life on Earth. This significant palaeontological heritage has been recognised at places like Naracoorte (South Australia's only World Heritage Place) and the "first life" sites in the Flinders Ranges that are currently part of another World Heritage nomination. However, despite the significance of South Australia's palaeontological heritage, relatively few fossil sites have been entered in the South Australian Heritage Register.

A total of ~800 fossil sites were identified during Ms Thomas' three month internship with Heritage SA. A number of these had little significance, had been lost or no longer had fossil remains at the site, whether from fossil poaching or research activities. However, many were still intact and housed a variety of fossil fauna and flora that provided glimpses into the history of the Australian environment and ecosystem.

According to a number of heritage and fossil experts, this Fossil Heritage Survey of SA is the first survey of its kind to be completed anywhere in Australia. The purpose of the

survey was to research and identify significant fossil sites within South Australia and determine those that were the highest priority for State Heritage Listing.

It was acknowledged that this survey has only uncovered a small part of South Australia's true fossil assemblage. Extensive fieldwork and substantial research would be necessary in order to completely identify South Australia's fossil sites, though all sites may never be found.

The SA Fossil Heritage Working Group guided Ms Thomas on her prioritisation process. Following an informative power point presentation by Ms Thomas, the Council considered the recommendations and agreed to prioritise seven fossil sites for heritage assessment.

Action: The Council will write to the Department of Mines and Energy to encourage protection of the fossils at one of the significant sites identified.

Action: Council requested that Heritage SA investigate whether fossils removed from the above site could possibly be identified and listed as Objects associated with the site.

Council noted that the following sites are likely to be part of a World Heritage listing bid, noting they are not yet State Heritage listed:

- Nilpena Ediacara National Park
- Angorichina
- Maynard's Well
- Aroona Dam
- Vulkathuna-Gammon Ranges National Park
- Ikara- Flinders Ranges National Park.

Heritage SA indicated that State Heritage listing for these locations will be progressed when the boundary of the World Heritage bid is made known.

Council discussed in situ signage to educate the community about significant sites and to alert them of the penalties involved for removing fossils without permission.

Council indicated its appreciation for this major piece of research and congratulated Ms Thomas and the SA Fossil Working Group.

Mrs Pope was pleased to advise that Ms Thomas will continue working with Heritage SA at one day a week and will be able to help progress fossil related assessments.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Noted** the Fossil Heritage Survey for SA, including the recommendations for priority assessments
- **Approved** the addition of the initial seven fossil sites identified for priority assessments to the Assessment List.

6.2 Minor amendment to template for Summary of State Heritage Place

Council discussed Heritage SA's proposal to amend the Summary of State Heritage Place to recognise First Nations country place name(s) as a part of the address in the Register Entry.

It was noted that the term "Aboriginal" rather than "First Nations" is more appropriate term to use in South Australia on most occasions.

Council approved the amendment to template in relation to future reports, but requested that no changes are made to existing entries until after Council has discussed with Crown Law at its December 2021 meeting. At this meeting Council will discuss retrospective changes to the SA Heritage Register for listings made under historic legislation.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Approved** the amendment of the Summary of State Heritage Place template to include Aboriginal country place name(s) as a part of the address in the Register entry.
- **Delays** its decision regarding the addition of Aboriginal country place name(s) in the address of existing State Heritage Places until after discussion with the Crown Solicitor's Office regarding ability to amend listings made under historic legislation.
- **Delays** its decision to advise the Register Clerk to update the address of existing State Heritage Places to include Aboriginal country place name(s) in the Register.
- **Noted** the process of notification of Aboriginal people where Native Title exists when a State Heritage Place is provisionally listed and confirmed in the Register.

6.3 Determining heritage assessment priorities

Dr Bird noted that the purpose of this matter is for Council to reconsider how places are prioritised for assessment to enable limited resourcing to be effectively utilised, while also meeting the Council's and the department's priorities, and public expectations.

Dr Bird indicated that if it comes to the attention of Council that a boundary needs correction, it is something that could be actioned quickly, depending on each case's urgency.

Council noted there are 98 places on the outstanding list, but notwithstanding this, urgent public nominations relating to a place at risk will still be prioritised.

It was noted there are four priorities in the paper provided and agreed to add a fifth as follows: "known to be considered to be of outstanding significance within its criteria type".

It was also noted that for a place where the threat is deemed minimal and only corrections required, the priority is lower.

Dr Bird indicated that there would likely need to be two meetings of a working group to progress this matter.

Ms Brock, Ms McDougall and Ms Ferguson volunteered to be members on the working group. Mr Conlon thanked these members for dedicating their time to this issue.

Council agreed to the name change for Guideline 17.3.

Action: Heritage SA to update the name of Guideline 17.3.

Action: Dr Bird to organise meeting of working group.

Council noted that there is a large back log of work and that Heritage South Australia has limited resources to make headway into it. Council briefly discussed what would be required if it was to put together a business case for further resources.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Appointed** three members of the Council being Ms McDougall, Ms Brock and Ms Ferguson to attend meetings with the Assessment team to consider current public nominations and Council's assessment priorities to create the Assessment List.
- **Noted and supported** the intended change of name for Guideline 17.3 Prioritising Nominations to Guideline 17.3 Prioritising Assessments and the review and update of the guideline to reflect the change in focus from public nominations to assessments.
- **Approved** the recommendations relating to Conflict and Contact Sites to be considered for assessment:
 - a. And defers until the Reconciling the Frontier research is completed and the findings released.
 - b. And continues discussions with the State Aboriginal Heritage Committee once it is culturally acceptable to do so.
 - c. In partnership with the State Aboriginal Heritage Committee develops an approach to identification, consultation and assessment that is respectful of First Nations to enable assessment to begin promptly in 2023.

6.4 Twentieth Century Architecture Assessment List

Dr Bird noted that Twentieth Century Heritage is a known gap in the SA Heritage Register. In order to address this gap, a number of projects have been developed. One of these projects has been the creation of a list of significant representatives of 20th Century

Architecture. This list of 51 places was provided to the Council on 14 May 2020, at which time they asked Heritage SA staff to determine if full assessments were required for any of the places.

On 19 August 2021, Ms Kate McDougall, Dr Louise Bird and Mr Chris Burns met to devise an approach to prioritising the desktop assessments. It was determined that each of the 51 places on the List would be considered against 3 factors of equal weighting, with a level of priority assigned to each place of 1-3, where 1 is high and 3 is low.

The three factors are:

1. Risk (what is the likely threat of demolition due to development pressures?)
2. Representation/Gap (is the type of place well represented in the Register and does it also potentially address another gap identified by the Council for assessment? E.g. post-war migration)
3. Intactness (in considering criterion (e) only, is the known level of intactness relatively high, meaning the place is more likely to retain a high level of integrity?).

Noted that the original list it came from included building type.

It was noted that the Top 100 list is heavily weighted to metropolitan areas and the review of old surveys. Dr Bird observed she could discuss further with the Uni SA Architecture Museum.

Action: Dr Louise Bird to discuss further with staff from the Uni SA Architecture Museum regarding what is required to identify places in regional areas that may need to be prioritised for assessment.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Approved** the priority order and approach for desktop assessments of the places in the Twentieth Century Architecture Assessment List.

6.5 External Heritage Advisory Service

An external Heritage Advisory Service in South Australia evolved over two decades (1980s & 1990s) and was provided by externally contracted heritage advisers, jointly funded by the State government and local councils. These external advisers provided advice to heritage property owners and DA assessment advice to the Minister's delegates for signature, on approximately 90% of DAs. Advice on the remaining 10% of the DAs was provided to the Minister's delegates by Departmental staff. The external advisers also provided advice to owners and local councils on local heritage matters.

In 2011-12, the annual budget for the Heritage Advisory Service was further reduced by 35% to \$200,000. This budget reduction resulted in contracts for external heritage advisers not being renewed.

It was noted that external advisers would need to get their letters looked over and approved by staff in Heritage SA with appropriate delegation.

The great benefit of external heritage advisers to heritage owners is in the detail of their advice. It was noted that the lack of the on ground adviser has likely had a detrimental impact to State Heritage Places and Areas.

Ms McDougall, Mr Leydon, Mr Rolfe, Mr Schrapel and Ms Walters agreed to form the Council working group to meet out-of-session to discuss this matter.

Action: Mr Hanna to set up a meeting of the working group.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Noted** the current background information identified on South Australia's external Heritage Advisory Service and that further reports may still be available and need to be provided by Council members, and
- **Resolved** that the Council working group meet out-of-session in order to prepare advice for the Minister on the evidence for and the approach to a Heritage Advisory Service for SA.

7 ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED FOR PROVISIONAL ENTRY ON THE SA HERITAGE REGISTER

7.1 Catholic Church of the Holy Name, Stepney

Mr Chris Burns, Heritage SA, was welcomed to the meeting. Mr Burns noted that on 13 August 2020, the Council approved the post-war places of worship assessment list and priority order for assessment, beginning with four Catholic churches. Thus far, the Council has considered assessments for and confirmed the Holy Cross Catholic Church, Goodwood (SHP 26498) and provisionally entered Our Lady of Mount Carmel Catholic Church, Pennington (SHP 26520) in the Register.

An Assessment of the Catholic Church of the Holy Name, 80 Payneham Road, Stepney has been prepared and recommends that the church meets criterion (e) under s16 of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* for listing as a State Heritage Place.

Mr Burns recommended that the Catholic Church of the Holy Name is an outstanding, well-resolved example of International Style Modern Movement architecture in South Australia, and demonstrates a high degree of creative and aesthetic accomplishment.

It is one of the first South Australian churches to successfully address contemporary society in secular terms through its architectural expression, by deliberately avoiding

visual cues traditionally associated with churches. Notably, Holy Name was the first flat-roofed church built in South Australia. Holy Name was critically acclaimed and recognised as a radical departure in South Australian ecclesiastical architecture within the local and national architectural communities.

Council discussed the assessment report and agreed that it did not meet criteria a), b), c), d) f) or g) under section 16 of the *Heritage Places Act 1993*.

Council agreed with the assessment that it does meet criterion e) and agreed to provisionally enter it in the SA Heritage Register on that basis.

Council discussed the extent of listing and noted recent case law regarding the extent of a listing.

The Council made the following comments:

- The elements of significance listed on page three ought to be the same as page seven.
- Discussed the significance of the sunken garden and the views to the Church.
- The listing is inclusive of fixtures and fittings and this ought to be amended in the document.
- Include “Kurna Country” in address.

Action: Council requested that the revised Summary of State Heritage Place to be circulated out of session via email for approval.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Provisionally entered** the Catholic Church Of The Holy Name, 80 Payneham Road, Stepney (CT 6135/202, D83605 A163, Hundred of Adelaide) in the South Australian Heritage Register as a State Heritage Place, as it is deemed to fulfil criterion (e) for State heritage listing under section 16(1) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993*.
- **Approved** the Summary of State Heritage Place for the Catholic Church of The Holy Name, 80 Payneham Road, Stepney subject to out-of-session approval.
- **Agreed** that fourteen additional places, as detailed by Heritage SA, should be added to the Assessment list.

8 ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED FOR DESIGNATION ON THE SA HERITAGE REGISTER

8.1 Myponga Beach Coastline Geological Site

Ms Thomas reported that the Myponga Beach Coastline Geological Site was entered in the South Australian Heritage Register as a State Heritage Place in 1990, under the

South Australian Heritage Act 1978, however, there were no provisions for designation at that time.

The cliffs flanking Myponga Beach provide a superb continuous coastal section of Early Cambrian (c.540-570 million years) fossiliferous limestones. This is an invaluable site for continuing scientific research providing, as it does, an exposure of the entire Sellick Hill Formation and thus enabling comparison with the more limited exposure of the Type Section at Sellick Hill itself.

Ms Thomas noted that the Sellick Hill Formation and the overlying Fork Tree Limestone contain the fossil *Archaeocyatha*, the earliest preserved reef builder in the seas. New species have been discovered in the cliffs at Myponga Beach, allowing more accurate correlation with other Cambrian rocks in South Australia and elsewhere.

This site is also one of the best known localities for *Hyolithes*, another important early Cambrian fossil.

Heritage South Australia recommended that the Council designates the Myponga Beach Coastline Geological Site under s14(7)(a) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* as a site of palaeontological significance.

A Statement of Designation had been prepared for the Council's consideration and approval, while the original Register Assessment Report was provided to members containing the information considered by the Council at the time of listing.

Council discussed the proposal and agreed with the assessment that this site is worthy of designation for its palaeontological significance. Council agreed to make its intent to designate known through a consultation process.

Council noted that the PDF document they were provided had statements in **bold** typeface that ought to be in standard text. Ms Thomas noted she would amend this before the Statement of Designation is sent out for consultation.

Council discussed and approved the draft Procedure for Designation.

Action: Agreed that the Field Geology Club, the Geological Society and Kaurna representatives will be written to as part of the consultation.

Council agreed that a sign would be important to inform the community that they can enjoy looking at the fossils insitu but they are not allowed to be removed.

Action: It was noted that Mr Ian Lewis, DEW, will talk to the SA Geological Society about contributing to the cost of signage for this important site.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Agreed** that there is an **intent to designate** the Myponga Beach Coastline Geological Site as a place of palaeontological significance, pursuant to section 14(7)(a) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* after a three-month consultation process.
- **Approved** the proposed Statement of Designation for Myponga Beach Coastline Geological Site
- **Approved** the Procedure – Designation.

8.2 Tertiary Silcrete Flora, Stuarts Creek

The Tertiary Silcrete Flora, Stuarts Creek (SHP 14419), approximately 80km west of Marree, was entered in the South Australian Heritage Register as a State Heritage Place in 1993, under the *South Australian Heritage Act 1978*. At that time, there was not yet provision for designation and permit protection for State Heritage Places.

Investigations had been carried out into the significance of the site, and it was recommended that the Council designate the Tertiary Silcrete Flora, Stuarts Creek as a site of palaeontological significance under s14(7)(a) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993*. A Statement of Designation had been prepared for the Council's consideration and approval.

Ms Thomas indicated that the Tertiary Silcrete Flora, Stuarts Creek fossil site is one of the most significant plant fossil sites in South Australia. Regarded for its complexity and unique fossil assemblage, the site contains an abundance of exceptionally well-preserved plant remains.

The proposed Miocene-Pliocene age of Tertiary Silcrete Flora, Stuarts Creek makes it younger than nearby fossil sites, placing it in a transitional period in Australia's environmental history. The site depicts a unique transition from an earlier rainforest-like climate to arid climates seen today. Tertiary Silcrete Flora, Stuarts Creek provides the best record of this environmental transition within the State and perhaps Australia.

The Tertiary Silcrete Flora, Stuarts Creek site contains a distinctive, diverse and uncommon range of fossil flora, with both tropical/monsoonal rainforest and arid-adapted flora found within the same site. Notably, this includes arid-adapted Eucalyptus and Banksia species and the rainforest/monsoonal flora, *Gymnostoma* and *Brachychiton* that are abundant, well preserved and uncommon in similarly aged sites.

Council discussed the proposal and agreed with the assessment that this site is worthy of designation for its palaeontological significance. Council agreed to make its intent to designate known through the consultation process.

It was noted there is a large risk of fossil poaching at this site, and therefore designation will provide for a permit process to help protect it.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Agreed** that there is an **intent to designate** the Tertiary Silcrete Flora, Stuarts Creek as a place of palaeontological significance, pursuant to section 14(7)(a) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* after a three-month consultation process.
- **Approved** the proposed Statement of Designation for Tertiary Silcrete Flora, Stuarts Creek.

9 ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED FOR CONFIRMATION ON THE SA HERITAGE REGISTER

9.1 Our Lady of Mount Carmel Catholic Church, 15 Pennington Terrace, Pennington

On 20 May 2021, the Council provisionally entered Our Lady of Mount Carmel Catholic Church, 15 Pennington Terrace, Pennington in the South Australian Heritage Register as a State Heritage Place as it meets criteria (a), (d) and (e) under s16 of the *Heritage Places Act 1993*.

Mr Chris Burns noted that the period for making a public submission closed on 3 September 2021 and that no submissions were received.

Council noted there were no submissions and agreed to confirm the entry in the South Australian Heritage Register.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Confirmed** the entry of Our Lady of Mount Carmel Catholic Church, 15 Pennington Terrace, Pennington (CT 5783/857, CT 5842/948, CT 5842/949 F10971 A9, A10, A11 Hundred of Yatala) in the South Australian Heritage Register as a State Heritage Place, pursuant to sections 18(4) & 18(5) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* as it satisfies criteria (a), (d) and (e) under section 16(1) of the Act:
 - (a) it demonstrates important aspects of the evolution or pattern of the State's history
 - (d) it is an outstanding representative of a particular class of place of cultural significance
 - (e) it demonstrates a high degree of creative, aesthetic or technical accomplishment or is an outstanding representative of particular construction techniques or design characteristics
- **Approved** the Summary of State Heritage Place.

9.2 Walkerville Brewhouse Tower, 107 Port Road, Thebarton

It was noted that Mr Schrapel and Mrs Lindsay departed the meeting at this point due to their declared conflict of interest.

On 20 May 2021, the Council provisionally entered the Walkerville Brewhouse Tower (SHP 26528), 107 Port Road, Thebarton (CT 6137/685 F103751 A2 & CT 5138/158 D1038 A386 Hundred of Adelaide) in the South Australian Heritage Register as it meets criteria (a), (b) and (g) under s16 of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* (the Act).

The three month period for making a public submission closed on 3 September and one submission was received in favour of confirming the listing.

Council agreed to confirm the entry in the South Australian Heritage Register.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Considered** the submission received in relation to the entry of the Walkerville Brewhouse Tower, 107 Port Road Thebarton (CT 6137/685 F103751 A2 & CT 5138/158 D1038 A386 Hundred of Adelaide) in the South Australian Heritage Register.
- **Confirmed** the entry of Walkerville Brewhouse Tower, 107 Port Road Thebarton (CT 6137/685 F103751 A2 & CT 5138/158 D1038 A386 Hundred of Adelaide) in the South Australian Heritage Register as a State Heritage Place, pursuant to sections 18(4) & 18(5) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* as it satisfies criteria (a), (b) and (g) under section 16(1) of the Act:
 - (a) it demonstrates important aspects of the evolution or pattern of the State's history
 - (b) it has rare, uncommon or endangered qualities that are of cultural significance
 - (g) it has a special association with the life or work of a person or organisation or an event of historical importance
- Approved the Summary of State Heritage Place.

9.3 Object - Copper Kettle associated with the Walkerville Brewhouse Tower

On 20 May 2021, the Council considered the Summary of State Heritage Object - Copper Kettle Walkerville Brewhouse Tower and provisionally entered the kettle as a State Heritage Object in the South Australian Heritage Register as it was found under s16(2)(c) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* to be intrinsically related to the heritage significance of a State Heritage Place, the Walkerville Brewhouse Tower (SHP 26528).

The three month period for making a written submission closed on 27 August 2021 and no submissions were received.

The Council agreed to confirm the entry in the South Australian Heritage Register.

RESOLUTIONS:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Confirmed** the entry of Copper Kettle Walkerville Brewhouse Tower, 107 Port Road, Thebarton in the South Australian Heritage Register as a State Heritage Object, pursuant to sections 18(4) and 18(5) of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* as under section 16(2)(c) of the Act, the Council finds that the Copper Kettle is intrinsically related to the heritage significance of a State Heritage Place – Walkerville Brewhouse Tower (SHP 26528), 107 Port Road, Thebarton.
- **Approved** the Summary of State Heritage Object.

10 ITEMS FOR NOTING

10.1 Chairperson's Report

It was noted that Mr Schrapel and Mrs Lindsay returned to the meeting for this item, while Mr Rolfe departed the meeting for the discussion on the Riverbank Code Amendment.

Mr Conlon noted that the Heritage Review Advisory Panel has completed its work. It will now be presented to the Environment Minister and the Planning Minister for their consideration.

Council noted the status of the Riverbank Code Amendment submission of Council.

Council discussed the Heritage Conservation Grants that are currently open for application.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- Noted the report provided by the Chairperson, Mr Keith Conlon AM.

10.2 Correspondence

It was noted that Mr Rolfe returned to the meeting at this point.

The correspondence was noted.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- Noted the correspondence sent and received since the last meeting

10.3 Delegations Report

Noted.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

- **Noted** the delegations report.

11 Any Other Business

Nil.

RESOLUTION:

The South Australian Heritage Council:

CLOSE OF MEETING

Mr Conlon closed the meeting at 1:30pm.



Mr Keith Conlon OAM
Chair

Date: 16 December 2021